Landesbibliothek Oldenburg

Digitalisierung von Drucken

A Treatise Of Human Nature

Being An Attempt to introduce the experimental Method of Reasoning Into Moral Subjects

Of The Passions

Hume, David London, 1739

Sect. VI. Limitations of this System.

urn:nbn:de:gbv:45:1-1219

thefe rela-

plain'd the belief attending the judgments, SECT. which we form from causation. I have obferv'd, that in all judgments of this kind, there of the inis always a present impression, and a related fluence of idea; and that the present impression gives a tions on vivacity to the fancy, and the relation conveys pride and this vivacity, by an eafy transition, to the related idea. Without the present impression, the attention is not fix'd, nor the spirits excited. Without the relation, this attention rests on its first object, and has no farther consequence. There is evidently a great analogy betwixt that hypothesis, and our prefent one of an impression and idea, that transfuse themselves into another impression and idea by means of their double relation: Which analogy must be allow'd to be no despicable proof of both hypotheses.

SECT. VI.

Limitations of this System.

UT before we proceed farther in this SECT. I fubject, and examine particularly all the causes of pride and humility, 'twill be ' proper to make fome limitations to the general fystem, that all agreeable objects, related to ourselves, by an association of ideas and

PART and of impressions, produce pride, and disagreeable ones, bumility: And these limitations Of pride are deriv'd from the very nature of the

and humi- fubject.

28

I. Suppose an agreeable object to acquire a relation to felf, the first passion, that appears on this occasion, is joy; and this pasfion discovers itself upon a slighter relation than pride and vain-glory. We may feel joy upon being present at a feast, where our fenses are regal'd with delicacies of every kind: But 'tis only the master of the feast, who, beside the same joy, has the additional paffion of felf-applause and vanity. 'Tis true, men fometimes boaft of a great entertainment, at which they have only been prefent; and by fo fmall a relation convert their pleasure into pride: But however, this must in general be own'd, that joy arises from a more inconfiderable relation than vanity, and that many things, which are too foreign to produce pride, are yet able to give us a delight and pleasure. The reafon of the difference may be explain'd thus. A relation is requifite to joy, in order to approach the object to us, and make it give us any fatisfaction. But beside this, which is common to both passions, 'tis requisite to pride, in order to produce a transition from

from one paffion to another, and convert SECT. the satisfaction into vanity. As it has a double task to perform, it must be endow'd vinitati-with double force and energy. To which ons of this we may add, that where agreeable objects shear not a very close relation to ourselves, they commonly do to some other person; and this latter relation not only excels, but even diminishes, and sometimes destroys the former, as we shall see afterwards *.

HERE then is the first limitation, we must make to our general position, that every thing related to us, which produces pleasure or pain, produces likewise pride or humility. There is not only a relation requir'd, but a close one, and a closer than is requir'd to joy.

II. THE fecond limitation is, that the agreeable or difagreeable object be not only closely related, but also peculiar to ourselves, or at least common to us with a few perfons. 'Tis a quality observable in human nature, and which we shall endeavour to explain afterwards, that every thing, which is often presented, and to which we have been long accustom'd, loses its value in our eyes, and is in a little time despis'd and neglected. We likewise judge of objects more from * Part II., Sect. 4.

comparison

lity.

30

PART comparison than from their real and intrinsic merit; and where we cannot by fome contrast enhance their value, we are apt to overand bumi- look even what is effentially good in them. These qualities of the mind have an effect upon joy as well as pride; and 'tis remarkable, that goods, which are common to all mankind, and have become familiar to us by custom, give us little fatisfaction; tho' perhaps of a more excellent kind, than those on which, for their fingularity, we fet a much higher value. But tho' this circumstance operates on both there passions, it has a much greater influence on vanity. We are rejoic'd for many goods, which, on account of their frequency, give us on pride. Health, when it returns after a long absence, affords us a very sensible satisfaction; but is feldom regarded as a subject of vanity, because 'tis shar'd with such vast numbers.

THE reason, why pride is so much more delicate in this particular than joy, I take to be, as follows. In order to excite pride, there are always two objects we must contemplate, viz. the cause or that object which produces pleafure; and felf, which is the real object of the passion. But joy has only one object necessary to its production, viz. that which gives pleafure; and tho' it be requi-

fite, that this bear some relation to felf, SECT. yet that is only requisite in order to render VI. it agreeable; nor is felf, properly speaking, Limitatithe object of this passion. Since, therefore, ons of this pride has in a manner two objects, to which it directs our view; it follows, that where neither of them have any fingularity, the passion must be more weaken'd upon that account, than a passion, which has only one object. Upon comparing ourselves with others, as we are every moment apt to do, we find we are not in the least distinguish'd; and upon comparing the object we possess, we discover still the same unlucky circum-By two comparisons so disadvantageous the passion must be entirely destroy'd.

III. THE third limitation is, that the pleasant or painful object be very discernible and obvious, and that not only to ourselves, but to others also. This circumstance, like the two foregoing, has an effect upon joy, as well as pride. We fancy ourselves more happy, as well as more virtuous or beautiful, when we appear so to others; but are still more oftentacious of our virtues than of our pleasures. This proceeds from causes, which I shall endeavour to explain afterwards,

IV, THE

PART

32

IV. THE fourth limitation is deriv'd from the inconstancy of the cause of these pasof pride fions, and from the field. What is casual and fions, and from the short duration of its coninconstant gives but little joy, and less pride. We are not much fatisfy'd with the thing itself; and are still less apt to feel any new degrees of felf-fatisfaction upon its account. We foresee and anticipate its change by the imagination; which makes us little fatisfy'd with the thing: We compare it to ourfelves, whose existence is more durable; by which means its inconstancy appears still greater. It feems ridiculous to infer an excellency in ourselves from an object, which is of fo much shorter duration, and attends us during fo finall a part of our existence. 'Twill be easy to comprehend the reason, why this cause operates not with the same force in joy as in pride; fince the idea of felf is not so effential to the former passion as to the latter.

V. I MAY add as a fifth limitation, or rather enlargement of this system, that general rules have a great influence upon pride and humility, as well as on all the other passions. Hence we form a notion of different ranks of men, fuitable to the power or riches they are possest of; and this no-

tion

tion we change not upon account of any SECT. peculiarities of the health or temper of the VI. persons, which may deprive them of all enjoyment in their possessions. This may be ons of this accounted for from the same principles, that explain'd the influence of general rules on the understanding. Custom readily carries us beyond the just bounds in our passions, as well as in our reasonings.

IT may not be amiss to observe on this occasion, that the influence of general rules and maxims on the passions very much contributes to facilitate the effects of all the principles, which we shall explain in the progress of this treatise. For 'tis evident, that if a person full-grown, and of the same nature with ourselves, were on a sudden transported into our world, he wou'd be very much embarrass'd with every object, and wou'd not readily find what degree of love or hatred, pride or humility, or any other paffion he ought to attribute to it. passions are often vary'd by very inconsiderable principles; and these do not always play with a perfect regularity, especially on the first trial. But as custom and practice have brought to light all these principles, and have settled the just value of every thing; this must certainly contribute to the easy production of the pas-VOL. II. fions.

34

Of pride

PART fions, and guide us, by means of general eftablish'd maxims, in the proportions we ought to observe in preferring one object to another. and humi- This remark may, perhaps, ferve to obviate difficulties, that may arise concerning some causes, which I shall hereafter ascribe to particular passions, and which may be esteem'd too refin'd to operate so univerfally and certainly, as they are found to do.

> I SHALL close this subject with a reflection deriv'd from these five limitations. This reflection is, that the persons, who are proudest, and who in the eye of the world have most reason for their pride, are not always the happiest; nor the most humble always the most miserable, as may at first fight be imagin'd from this fystem. evil may be real, tho' its cause has no relation to us: It may be real, without being peculiar: It may be real, without shewing itself to others: It may be real, without being constant: And it may be real, without falling under the general rules. Such evils as these will not fail to render us miferable, tho' they have little tendency to diminish pride: And perhaps the most real and the most solid evils of life will be found of this nature.

> > SECT.