Landesbibliothek Oldenburg

Digitalisierung von Drucken

A Treatise Of Human Nature

Being An Attempt to introduce the experimental Method of Reasoning Into Moral Subjects

Of The Passions

Hume, David London, 1739

Part II. Of love and hatred.

urn:nbn:de:gbv:45:1-1219



PART II.

Of love and hatred.

SECT. I.

Of the object and causes of love and hatred.

IS altogether impossible to give Sect.

any definition of the passions of I.

love and hatred; and that because
they produce merely a simple impression, without any mixture or composition.

Twou'd be as unnecessary to attempt any description of them, drawn from their nature, origin, causes and objects; and that both because these are the subjects of our present enquiry, and because these passions of themselves are sufficiently known from our common feeling and experience. This we have already observed concerning pride and humility,

96

Of love and ba-

PART humility, and here repeat it concerning love and hatred; and indeed there is fo great a resemblance betwixt these two sets of pasfions, that we shall be oblig'd to begin with a kind of abridgment of our reasonings concerning the former, in order to explain the latter.

> As the immediate object of pride and humility is felf or that identical person, of whose thoughts, actions, and fensations we are intimately conscious; so the object of love and hatred is some other person, of whose thoughts, actions, and fensations we are not confcious. This is fufficiently evident from experience. Our love and hatred are always directed to fome fenfible being external to us; and when we talk of self-love, 'tis not in a proper sense, nor has the fensation it produces any thing in common with that tender emotion, which is excited by a friend or mistress. "Tis the fame case with hatred. We may be mortified by our own faults and follies; but never feel any anger or hatred, except from the injuries of others.

> But the object of love and hatred be always some other person, 'tis plain that the object is not, properly fpeaking, the cause of these passions, or alone sufficient to excite

excite them. For fince love and hatred are Sect. directly contrary in their fenfation, and I. have the same object in common, if that of the obobject were also their cause, it wou'd pro- jests and duce these opposite passions in an equal de- love and gree; and as they must, from the very first hatred. moment, destroy each other, none of them wou'd ever be able to make its appearance. There must, therefore, be some cause different from the object.

IF we confider the causes of love and hatred, we shall find they are very much diverfify'd, and have not many things in common. The virtue, knowledge, wit, good fense, good humour of any person, produce love and efteem; as the opposite qualities, hatred and contempt. The fame passions arise from bodily accomplishments. fuch as beauty, force, fwiftness, dexterity; and from their contraries; as likewife from the external advantages and difadvantages of family, possessions, cloaths, nation and climate. There is not one of these objects, but what by its different qualities may produce love and esteem, or hatred and contempt, and gold satisfaint to action

FROM the view of these causes we may derive a new distinction betwixt the quality that operates, and the subject on which it Vol. II. H

Of love and batred.

98

PART is plac'd. A prince, that is posses'd of a stately palace, commands the esteem of the people upon that account; and that first, by the beauty of the palace, and fecondly, by the relation of property, which connects it with him. The removal of either of these destroys the passion; which evidently proves that the cause is a compounded one.

> 'Twou'd be tedious to trace the paffions of love and hatred, thro' all the observations which we have form'd concerning pride and humility, and which are equally applicable to both fets of passions. 'Twill be sufficient to remark in general, that the object of love and hatred is evidently fome thinking person; and that the fensation of the former passion is always agreeable, and of the latter uneafy. We may also suppose with some shew of probability, that the cause of both these passions is always related to a thinking being, and that the cause of the former produce a separate pleasure, and of the latter a separate uneasiness.

> ONE of these suppositions, viz. that the cause of love and hatred must be related to a person or thinking being, in order to produce these passions, is not only probable, but too evident to be contested. Virtue and vice, when confider'd in the abstract; beauty

BOOK II. Of the Passions.

and deformity, when plac'd on inanimate SECT. objects; poverty and riches, when belong- I. ing to a third person, excite no degree of of the oblove or hatred, esteem or contempt to-jects and wards those, who have no relation to them. love and A person looking out at a window, sees me hatred. in the street, and beyond me a beautiful palace, with which I have no concern: I believe none will pretend, that this person will pay me the same respect, as if I were owner of the palace.

'Trs not so evident at first fight, that a relation of impressions is requisite to these passions, and that because in the transition the one impression is so much confounded with the other. that they become in a manner undistinguishable. But as in pride and humility, we have easily been able to make the feparation, and to prove, that every cause of these passions produces a separate pain or pleasure, I might here observe the same method with the same fuccess, in examining particularly the several causes of love and hatred. But as I hasten to a full and decisive proof of these systems, I delay this examination for a moment: And in the mean time shall endeavour to convert to my present purpose all my reasonings concerning pride and humityille on others, be Hat we feel immedi-

PART lity, by an argument that is founded on un-II. questionable experience.

Of love and hatred.

IOO

THERE are few persons, that are satisfy'd with their own character, or genius, or fortune, who are not defirous of shewing themselves to the world, and of acquiring the love and approbation of mankind. Now 'tis evident, that the very fame qualities and circumstances, which are the causes of pride or felf-esteem, are also the causes of vanity or the defire of reputation; and that we always put to view those particulars with which in ourselves we are best satisfy'd. But if love and esteem were not produc'd by the same qualities as pride, according as these qualities are related to ourselves or others, this method of proceeding wou'd be very abfurd, nor cou'd men expect a correspondence in the sentiments of every other person, with those themselves have entertain'd. 'Tis true, few can form exact fystems of the passions, or make reflections on their general nature and refemblances. But without fuch a progress in philosophy. we are not subject to many mistakes in this particular, but are fufficiently guided by common experience, as well as by a kind of presensation; which tells us what will operate on others, by what we feel immediately

BOOK II. Of the Passions.

TOI

ately in ourselves. Since then the same SECT. qualities that produce pride or humility, I. cause love or hatred; all the arguments of the obtath have been employ'd to prove, that the jests and causes of the former passions excite a pain love and or pleasure independent of the passion, will hatred. be applicable with equal evidence to the causes of the latter.

SECT. II.

Experiments to confirm this system.

PON duly weighing these argu-Sect.

ments, no one will make any scruple to assent to that conclusion I draw from
them, concerning the transition along related impressions and ideas, especially as 'tis
a principle, in itself, so easy and natural. But
that we may place this system beyond doubt
both with regard to love and hatred, pride
and humility, 'twill be proper to make some
new experiments upon all these passions, as
well as to recal a few of these observations,
which I have formerly touch'd upon.

In order to make these experiments, let us suppose I am in company with a person, whom I formerly regarded without any sen-

H 3 timens

PART timents either of friendship or enmity. Here II. I have the natural and ultimate object of all these four passions plac'd before me. Myard hard hard felf am the proper object of pride or humility; the other person of love or hatred.

REGARD now with attention the nature of these passions, and their situation with respect to each other. 'Tis evident here are four affections, plac'd, as it were, in a fquare or regular connexion with, and distance from each other. The passions of pride and humility, as well as those of love and hatred, are connected together by the identity of their object, which to the first fet of passions is self, to the second some other person. These two lines of communication or connexion form two oppofite fides of the square. Again, pride and love are agreeable paffions; hatred and humility uneafy. This fimilitude of fenfation betwixt pride and love, and that betwixt humility and hatred form a new connexion, and may be confider'd as the other two fides of the square. Upon the whole, pride is connected with humility, love with hatred, by their objects or ideas: Pride with love, humility with hatred, by their fenfations or impressions, no ni ma I sloquil su

-nal yna tuonin w labragar viramiot I SAY

#HORES

I say then, that nothing can produce SECT. any of these passions without bearing it a II. double relation, viz. of ideas to the object Experior of the passion, and of sensation to the passion itself. This we must prove by our exthis system. periments.

FIRST EXPERIMENT. To proceed with the greater order in these experiments, let us first suppose, that being plac'd in the situation above-mention'd, viz. in company with some other person, there is an object presented, that has no relation either of impressions or ideas to any of these passions. Thus suppose we regard together an ordinary stone, or other common object, belonging to neither of us, and caufing of itfelf no emotion, or independent pain and pleasure: 'Tis evident such an object will produce none of these four passions. Let us try it upon each of them fucceffively. Let us apply it to love, to hatred, to humility, to pride; none of them ever arises in the smallest degree imaginable. Let us change the object, as oft as we please; provided still we choose one, that has neither of these two relations. Let us repeat the experiment in all the dispositions, of which the mind is susceptible. No object, in the vast variety of nature, will, in any disposi-H 4 tion,

PART tion, produce any passion without these re-II. lations.

Of love and hatred.

SECOND EXPERIMENT. Since an object, that wants both these relations can eyer produce any passion, let us bestow on it only one of these relations; and see what will follow. Thus suppose, I regard a stone or any common object, that belongs either to me or my companion, and by that means acquires a relation of ideas to the object of the passions: "Tis plain, that to confider the matter a priori, no emotion of any kind can reasonably be expected. For befides, that a relation of ideas operates fecretly and calmly on the mind, it bestows an equal impulse towards the opposite passions of pride and humility, love and hatred, according as the object belongs to ourselves or others; which opposition of the passions must destroy both, and leave the mind perfectly free from any affection or emotion. This reasoning a priori is confirm'd by experience. No trivial or vulgar object, that causes not a pain or pleasure, independent of the passion, will ever, by its property or other relations, either to ourselves or others, be able to produce the affections of pride or humility, love or hatred.

dain't at the distance will in any disposi-

THIRD EXPERIMENT. 'Tis evident, SECT. therefore, that a relation of ideas is not able alone to give rife to these affections. Experi-Let us now remove this relation, and in its ments to stead place a relation of impressions, by pre- this fiftem. fenting an object, which is agreeable or difagreeable, but has no relation either to ourfelf or companion; and let us observe the consequences. To consider the matter first a priori, as in the preceding experiment; we may conclude, that the object will have a finall, but an uncertain connexion with thefe passions. For besides, that this relation is not a cold and imperceptible one, it has not the inconvenience of the relation of ideas, nor directs us with equal force to two contrary passions, which by their oppofition destroy each other. But if we confider, on the other hand, that this transition from the fensation to the affection is not forwarded by any principle, that produces a transition of ideas; but, on the contrary, that tho' the one impression be easily transfus'd into the other, yet the change of objects is suppos'd contrary to all the principles, that cause a transition of that kind; we may from thence infer, that nothing will ever be a fleady or durable cause of any passion, that is connected with the passion merely by a relation

Of Love

PART relation of impressions. What our reason wou'd conclude from analogy, after ballancing these arguments, wou'd be, that an object, which produces pleasure or uneafiness, but has no manner of connexion either with ourselves or others, may give fuch a turn to the disposition, as that it may naturally fall into pride or love, humility or hatred, and fearch for other objects, upon which, by a double relation, it can found these affections; but that an object, which has only one of these relations, tho'. the most advantageous one, can never give rife to any constant and establish'd passion.

Most fortunately all this reasoning is found to be exactly conformable to experience, and the phænomena of the passions. Suppose I were travelling with a companion thro' a country, to which we are both utter strangers; 'tis evident, that if the profpects be beautiful, the roads agreeable, and the inns commodious, this may put me into good humour both with myfelf and fellow-traveller. But as we suppose, that this country has no relation either to myfelf or friend, it can never be the immediate cause of pride or love; and therefore if I found not the passion on some other object, that bears either of us a closer relation, my emotions

are

BOOK II. Of the Paffions.

107

are rather to be consider'd as the overflow- SECT. ings of an elevate or humane disposition, than as an establish'd passion. The case is Experithe same where the object produces uneasi- ments to militar out galvomer to pastini this fiften. ness.

FOURTH EXPERIMENT. Having found, that neither an object without any relation of ideas or impressions, nor an object, that has only one relation, can ever cause pride or humility, love or hatred; reason alone may convince us, without any farther experiment, that whatever has a double relation must necessarily excite these passions; fince 'tis evident they must have some cause. But to leave as little room for doubt as poffible, let us renew our experiments, and fee whether the event in this cafe answers our expectation. I choose an object, such as virtue, that causes a separate satisfaction: On this object I bestow a relation to felf; and find, that from this disposition of affairs, there immediately arises a passion. But what paffion? That very one of pride, to which this object bears a double relation. Its idea is related to that of felf, the object of the passion: The sensation it causes refembles the fensation of the passion. That I may be fure I am not mistaken in this experiment, I remove first one relation; then another: 108

PART another; and find, that each removal de-Of love and batred.

stroys the passion, and leaves the object perfectly indifferent. But I am not content with this. I make a still farther trial; and instead of removing the relation, I only change it for one of a different kind. I suppose the virtue to belong to my companion, not to myfelf; and observe what follows from this alteration. I immediately perceive the affections wheel about, and leaving pride, where there is only one relation, viz. of impressions, fall to the side of love, where they are attracted by a double relation of impressions and ideas. By repeating the fame experiment, in changing anew the relation of ideas, I bring the affections back to pride; and by a new repetition I again place them at love or kindness. Being fully convinc'd of the influence of this relation, I try the effects of the other; and by changing virtue for vice, convert the pleafant impression, which arises from the former, into the difagreeable one, which proceeds from the latter. The effect still answers expectation. Vice, when plac'd on another, excites, by means of its double relations, the passion of hatred, instead of love, which for the same reason arises from virtue. To continue the experiment, I change anew the relation of

of ideas, and suppose the vice to belong to SECT.

myself. What follows? What is usual. A II.

subsequent change of the passion from ha
Experited to humility. This humility I convert ments to into pride by a new change of the impression; and find after all that I have compleated the round, and have by these changes brought back the passion to that very situation, in which I first found it.

But to make the matter still more certain, I alter the object; and instead of vice and virtue, make the trial upon beauty and deformity, riches and poverty, power and fervitude. Each of these objects runs the circle of the passions in the same manner, by a change of their relations: And in whatever order we proceed, whether thro' pride, love, hatred, humility, or thro' humility, hatred, love, pride, the experiment is not in the least diversify'd. Esteem and contempt, indeed, arise on some occasions inflead of love and hatred; but these are at the bottom the fame passions, only diverfify'd by fome causes, which we shall explain afterwards. A show of sull most

authority to these experiments, let us change the situation of affairs as much as possible, and place the passions and objects in all the different

II. Of love and ba-

PART different positions, of which they are sufceptible. Let us suppose, beside the relations above-mention'd, that the person, along with whom I make all these experiments, is closely connected with me either by blood or friendship. He is, we shall suppose, my fon or brother, or is united to me by a long and familiar acquaintance. Let us next suppose, that the cause of the passion acquires a double relation of impressions and ideas to this person; and let us see what the effects are of all these complicated attractions and relations.

> BEFORE we confider what they are in fact, let us determine what they ought to be, conformable to my hypothesis. 'Tis plain, that, according as the impression is either pleasant or uneasy, the passion of love or hatred must arise towards the person, who is thus connected to the cause of the impression by these double relations, which I have all along requir'd. The virtue of a brother must make me love him; as his vice or infamy must excite the contrary pasfion. But to judge only from the fituation of affairs, I shou'd not expect, that the affections wou'd rest there, and never transfuse themselves into any other impression. As there is here a person, who by means of

E

a double relation is the object of my pass Sect. fion, the very same reasoning leads me to think the passion will be carry'd farther.

The person has a relation of ideas to my-ments to confirm self, according to the supposition; the passion, of which he is the object, by being either agreeable or uneasy, has a relation of impressions to pride or humility. Tis evident, then, that one of these passions must arise from the love or hatted.

This is the reasoning I form in conformity to my hypothesis; and am pleas'd to find upon trial that every thing answers exactly to my expectation. The virtue or vice of a son or brother not only excites love or hatred, but by a new transition, from similar causes, gives rise to pride or humility. Nothing causes greater vanity than any shining quality in our relations; as nothing mortishes us more than their vice or infamy. This exact conformity of experience to our reasoning is a convincing proof of the solidity of that hypothesis, upon which we reason.

SIXTH EXPERIMENT. This evidence will be still augmented, if we reverse the experiment, and preserving still the same relations, begin only with a different passion.

II. Of love and hared.

PART sion. Suppose, that instead of the virtue or vice of a fon or brother, which causes first love or hatred, and afterwards pride or humility, we place these good or bad qualities on ourselves, without any immediate connexion with the person, who is related to us: Experience shews us, that by this change of fituation the whole chain is broke, and that the mind is not convey'd from one paffion to another, as in the preceding instance. We never love or hate a fon or brother for the virtue or vice we discern in ourselves: tho' 'tis evident the same qualities in him give us a very fenfible pride or humility. The transition from pride or humility to love or hatred is not fo natural as from love or hatred to pride or humility. This may at first fight be esteem'd contrary to my hypothesis; fince the relations of impressions and ideas are in both cases precisely the fame. Pride and humility are impressions related to love and hatred. Myself am related to the person. It shou'd, therefore, be expected, that like causes must produce like effects, and a perfect transition arise from the double relation, as in all other cases. This difficulty we may easily solve by the following reflections,

III's begin only with a difference

'Tis evident, that as we are at all times SECT. intimately conscious of ourselves, our sentiments and passions, their ideas must strike Experiupon us with greater vivacity than the ideas ments to of the fentiments and passions of any other this system. person. But every thing, that strikes upon us with vivacity, and appears in a full and strong light, forces itself, in a manner, into our confideration, and becomes prefent to the mind on the smallest hint and most trivial relation. For the fame reason, when it is once prefent, it engages the attention, and keeps it from wandering to other objects, however strong may be their relation to our first object. The imagination passes eafily from obscure to lively ideas, but with difficulty from lively to obscure. In the one case the relation is aided by another principle: In the other case, 'tis oppos'd by it.

Now I have observ'd, that those two faculties of the mind, the imagination and passions, assist each other in their operation, when their propensities are similar, and when they act upon the same object. The mind has always a propenfity to pass from a passion to any other related to it; and this propenfity is forwarded when the object of the one passion is related to that of the other. The two impulses concur with each VOL. II. other,

PART other, and render the whole transition more II. Of love and batred.

fmooth and easy. But if it shou'd happen. that while the relation of ideas, strictly speaking, continues the same, its influence, in causing a transition of the imagination, shou'd no longer take place, 'tis evident its influence on the passions must also cease, as being dependent entirely on that transition. This is the reason why pride or humility is not transfus'd into love or hatred with the same ease, that the latter passions are chang'd into the former. If a person be my brother I am his likewise: But tho' the relations be reciprocal, they have very different effects on the imagination. The paffage is fmooth and open from the confideration of any person related to us to that of ourself, of whom we are every moment conscious. But when the affections are once directed to ourself, the fancy passes not with the same facility from that object to any other person, how closely so ever connected with us. This eafy or difficult transition of the imagination operates upon the paffions, and facilitates or retards their transition; which is a clear proof, that these two faculties of the passions and imagination are connected together, and that the relations of ideas have an influence upon the affections. BOOK II. Of the Passions.

II5

affections. Besides innumerable experiments SECT. that prove this, we here find, that even II. when the relation remains; if by any particular circumstance its usual effect upon the ments to confirm fancy in producing an affociation or tranthis system. Sition of ideas, is prevented; its usual effect upon the passions, in conveying us from one to another, is in like manner prevented.

Some may, perhaps, find a contradiction betwixt this phænomenon and that of fympathy, where the mind passes easily from the idea of ourselves to that of any other object related to us. But this difficulty will vanish, if we confider that in sympathy our own person is not the object of any passion, nor is there any thing, that fixes our attention on ourselves; as in the present case, where we are suppos'd to be actuated with pride or humility. Ourfelf, independent of the perception of every other object, is in reality nothing: For which reason we must turn our view to external objects; and 'tis natural for us to confider with most attention fuch as lie contiguous to us, or refemble us. But when felf is the object of a passion, 'tis not natural to quit the confideration of it, till the passion be exhausted; in which case the double relations of impressions and ideas can no longer operate.

I 2 SEVENTH

II. Of love and batred.

PART SEVENTH EXPERIMENT. To put this whole reasoning to a farther trial, let us make a new experiment; and as we have already feen the effects of related passions and ideas, let us here suppose an identity of passions along with a relation of ideas; and let us confider the effects of this new fituation. 'Tis evident a transition of the passions from the one object to the other is here in all reason to be expected; since the relation of ideas is suppos'd still to continue, and an identity of impressions must produce a stronger connexion, than the most perfect refemblance, that can be imagin'd. If a double relation, therefore, of impressions and ideas is able to produce a transition from one to the other, much more an identity of impressions with a relation of ideas. Ac. cordingly we find, that when we either love or hate any person, the passions seldom continue within their first bounds; but extend themselves towards all the contiguous objects, and comprehend the friends and relations of him we love or hate. Nothing is more natural than to bear a kindness to one brother on account of our friendship for another, without any farther examination of his character. A quarrel with one perfon gives us a hatred for the whole family, tho'

Of the Passions. BOOK II.

117 tho' entirely innocent of that, which dif-SECT.

pleases us. Instances of this kind are every where to be met with.

Experi-

THERE is only one difficulty in this ex- ments to periment, which it will be necessary to ac-this system. count for, before we proceed any farther.

'Tis evident, that tho' all paffions pass easily from one object to another related to it, yet this transition is made with greater facility, where the more confiderable object is first presented, and the lesser follows it, than where this order is revers'd, and the leffer takes the precedence. Thus 'tis more natural for us to love the fon upon account of the father, than the father upon account of the fon; the fervant for the master, than the master for the servant; the subject for the prince, than the prince for the subject. In like manner we more readily contract a hatred against a whole family, where our first quarrel is with the head of it, than where we are displeas'd with a fon, or fervant, or fome inferior member. In short, our passions, like other objects, descend with greater facility than they afcend.

THAT we may comprehend, wherein confifts the difficulty of explaining this phænomenon, we must consider, that the very fame reason, which determines the imagi-

nation I 3

Of love and batred.

PART nation to pass from remote to contiguous objects, with more facility than from contiguous to remote, causes it likewise to change with more ease, the less for the greater, than the greater for the less. Whatever has the greatest influence is most taken notice of; and whatever is most taken notice of, prefents itself most readily to the imagination. We are more apt to over-look in any subject, what is trivial, than what appears of confiderable moment; but especially if the latter takes the precedence, and first engages our attention. Thus if any accident makes us confider the Satellites of 'fupiter, our fancy is naturally determin'd to form the idea of that planet; but if we first reflect on the principal planet, 'tis more natural for us to overlook its attendants. The mention of the provinces of any empire conveys our thought to the feat of the empire; but the fancy returns not with the fame facility to the confideration of the provinces. The idea of the fervant makes us think of the mafter; that of the fubject carries our view to the prince. But the fame relation has not an equal influence in conveying us back again. And on this is founded that reproach of Cornelia to her fons, that they ought to be asham'd she shou'd

shou'd be more known by the title of the SECT. daughter of Scipio, than by that of the mother of the Gracchi. This was, in other Experiwords, exhorting them to render themselves ments to as illustrious and famous as their grand-this fiftem. father, otherwise the imagination of the people, passing from her who was intermediate, and plac'd in an equal relation to both, wou'd always leave them, and denominate her by what was more confiderable and of greater moment. On the fame principle is founded that common custom of making wives bear the name of their hufbands, rather than husbands that of their wives; as also the ceremony of giving the precedency to those, whom we honour and respect. We might find many other instances to confirm this principle, were it not already fufficiently evident.

Now fince the fancy finds the fame facility in passing from the lesser to the greater, as from remote to contiguous, why does not this easy transition of ideas assist the transition of passions in the former case, as well as in the latter? The virtues of a friend or brother produce first love, and then pride; because in that case the imagination passes from remote to contiguous, according to its propensity. Our own virtues produce not first pride, and then love to a friend or

Of love and batred.

PART brother; because the passage in that case wou'd be from contiguous to remote, contrary to its propenfity. But the love or hatred of an inferior cause not readily any passion to the superior, tho' that be the natural propenfity of the imagination: While the love or hatred of a superior, causes a passion to the inferior, contrary to its propenfity. In short, the same facility of tranfition operates not in the same manner upon fuperior and inferior as upon contiguous and remote. These two phænomena appear contradictory, and require some attention to be reconcil'd.

> As the transition of ideas is here made contrary to the natural propenfity of the imagination, that faculty must be overpower'd by fome stronger principle of another kind; and as there is nothing ever present to the mind but impressions and ideas, this principle must necessarily lie in the impressions. Now it has been observ'd, that impressions or passions are connected only by their refemblance, and that where any two passions place the mind in the fame or in fimilar dispositions, it very naturally passes from the one to the other: As on the contrary, a repugnance in the difpositions produces a difficulty in the transi-

> > tion

tion of the passions. But 'tis observable, Sect. that this repugnance may arise from a difference of degree as well as of kind; nor experido we experience a greater difficulty in passion fing suddenly from a small degree of love this system. to a small degree of hatred, than from a small to a great degree of either of these affections. A man, when calm or only moderately agitated, is so different, in every respect, from himself, when disturbed with a violent passion, that no two persons can be more unlike; nor is it easy to pass from the one extreme to the other, without a considerable interval betwixt them.

The difficulty is not less, if it be not rather greater, in passing from the strong passion to the weak, than in passing from the weak to the strong, provided the one passion upon its appearance destroys the other, and they do not both of them exist at once. But the case is entirely alter'd, when the passions unite together, and actuate the mind at the same time. A weak passion, when added to a strong, makes not so considerable change in the disposition, as a strong when added to a weak; for which reason there is a closer connexion betwixt the great degree and the small, than betwixt the small degree and the great,

THE

PART
II. of love and habitred.

THE degree of any passion depends upon the nature of its object; and an affection directed to a person, who is considerable in our eyes, fills and possesses the mind much more than one, which has for its object a person we esteem of less consequence. Here then the contradiction betwixt the propenfities of the imagination and passion displays itself. When we turn our thought to a great and a small object, the imagination finds more facility in paffing from the small to the great, than from the great to the fmall; but the affections find a greater difficulty: And as the affections are a more powerful principle than the imagination, no wonder they prevail over it, and draw the mind to their fide. In spite of the difficulty of passing from the idea of great to that of little, a passion directed to the former, produces always a fimilar paffion towards the latter; when the great and little are related together. The idea of the fervant conveys our thought most readily to the mafter; but the hatred or love of the master produces with greater facility anger or good-will to the fervant. The strongest passion in this case takes the precedence; and the addition of the weaker making no confiderable change on the difpofition,

fition, the passage is by that means ren-Sect. der'd more easy and natural betwixt them. II.

As in the foregoing experiment we Experifound, that a relation of ideas, which, by ments to any particular circumstance, ceases to pro-this softem. duce its usual effect of facilitating the tranfition of ideas, ceases likewise to operate on the paffions; fo in the present experiment we find the fame property of the impreffions. Two different degrees of the same paffion are furely related together; but if the fmaller be first present, it has little or no tendency to introduce the greater; and that because the addition of the great to the little, produces a more fenfible alteration on the temper, than the addition of the little to the great. These phænomena, when duly weigh'd, will be found convincing proofs of this hypothefis.

AND these proofs will be confirm'd, if we consider the manner in which the mind here reconciles the contradiction, I have observ'd betwixt the passions and the imagination. The fancy passes with more facility from the less to the greater, than from the greater to the less: But on the contrary a violent passion produces more easily a feeble, than that does a violent. In this opposition the passion in the end prevails

Of love and batred.

PART over the imagination; but 'tis commonly by complying with it, and by feeking another quality, which may counter-ballance that principle, from whence the opposition arifes. When we love the father or mafter of a family, we little think of his children or fervants. But when these are present with us, or when it lies any ways in our power to ferve them, the nearness and contiguity in this case encreases their magnitude, or at least removes that opposition, which the fancy makes to the transition of the affections. If the imagination finds a difficulty in paffing from greater to less, it finds an equal facility in paffing from remote to contiguous, which brings the matter to an equality, and leaves the way open from the one passion to the other.

EIGHTH EXPERIMENT. I have obferv'd that the transition from love or hatred to pride or humility, is more easy than from pride or humility to love or hatred; and that the difficulty, which the imagination finds in paffing from contiguous to remote, is the cause why we scarce have any instance of the latter transition of the affections. I must, however, make one exception, viz. when the very cause of the pride and humility is plac'd in some other person.

BOOK II. Of the Passions.

I 25

person. For in that case the imagination is SECT. necessitated to consider the person, nor can II. it possibly confine its view to ourselves. Experi-Thus nothing more readily produces kind-ments to ness and affection to any person, than his this fiftem. approbation of our conduct and character: As on the other hand, nothing infpires us with a stronger hatred, than his blame or contempt. Here 'tis evident, that the original passion is pride or humility, whose object is felf; and that this paffion is tranffus'd into love or hatred, whose object is fome other person, notwithstanding the rule I have already establish'd, that the imagination passes with difficulty from contiguous to remote. But the transition in this case is not made merely on account of the relation betwixt ourselves and the person; but because that very person is the real cause of our first passion, and of consequence is intimately connected with it. 'Tis his approbation that produces pride; and disapprobation, humility. No wonder, then, the imagination returns back again attended with the related passions of love and hatred. This is not a contradiction, but an exception to the rule; and an exception that arises from the fame reason with the rule itself.

Such

PART
II. the of love if and hate tred.

Such an exception as this is, therefore, rather a confirmation of the rule. And indeed. if we confider all the eight experiments I have explain'd, we shall find that the same principle appears in all of them, and that 'tis by means of a transition arising from a double relation of impressions and ideas, pride and humility, love and hatred are produc'd. An object without * a relation, or + with but one, never produces either of these passions; and 'tis I found that the pasfion always varies in conformity to the relation. Nay we may observe, that where the relation, by any particular circumstance, has not its usual effect of producing a transition either of ** ideas or of impressions, it ceases to operate upon the passions, and gives. rife neither to pride nor love, humility nor This rule we find still to hold good ++, even under the appearance of its contrary; and as relation is frequently experienc'd to have no effect; which upon examination is found to proceed from fome particular circumstance, that prevents the transition; so even in instances, where that circumstance, tho' present, prevents not the

tran-

Of the Passions. BOOK II.

I 27

transition, 'tis found to arise from some o- SECT. ther circumstance, which counter-ballances Thus not only the variations refolve Experithemselves into the general principle, but ments to even the variations of these variations.

confirm this Syftem.

SECT. III.

Difficulties solv'd.

FTER fo many and fuch undeniable SECT. proofs drawn from daily experience III. and observation, it may seem superfluous to enter into a particular examination of all the causes of love and hatred. I shall, therefore, employ the fequel of this part, First, In removing fome difficulties, concerning particular causes of these passions. Secondly, In examining the compound affections, which arife from the mixture of love and hatred with other emotions.

Nothing is more evident, than that any person acquires our kindness, or is expos'd to our ill-will, in proportion to the pleafure or uneafiness we receive from him, and that the passions keep pace exactly with the fenfations in all their changes and variations. Whoever can find the means either by his fervices, his beauty, or his flattery, to ren-

der

II. Of love and hatred.

128

PART der himself useful or agreeable to us, is sure of our affections: As on the other hand, whoever harms or displeases us never fails to excite our anger or hatred. When our own nation is at war with any other, we detest them under the character of cruel, perfidious. unjust and violent: But always esteem ourfelves and allies equitable, moderate, and merciful. If the general of our enemies be fuccessful, 'tis with difficulty we allow him the figure and character of a man. He is a forcerer: He has a communication with dæmons; as is reported of Oliver Cromwell, and the Duke of Luxembourg: He is bloody-minded, and takes a pleafure in death and destruction. But if the success be on our fide, our commander has all the opposite good qualities, and is a pattern of virtue, as well as of courage and conduct. His treachery we call policy: His cruelty is an evil inseparable from war. In short, every one of his faults we either endeavour to extenuate, or dignify it with the name of that virtue, which approaches it. evident the same method of thinking runs thro' common life.

> THERE are some, who add another condition, and require not only that the pain and pleasure arise from the person, but likewife

Book II. Of the Passions.

129

wise that it arise knowingly, and with a Sect. particular design and intention. A man, II. who wounds and harms us by accident, be-Dissibilities comes not our enemy upon that account, solv'd. nor do we think ourselves bound by any ties of gratitude to one, who does us any service after the same manner. By the intention we judge of the actions, and according as that is good or bad, they become causes of love or hatred.

But here we must make a distinction. If that quality in another, which pleases or displeases, be constant and inherent in his person and character, it will cause love or hatred independent of the intention: But otherwife a knowledge and defign is requifite, in order to give rife to these passions. One that is difagreeable by his deformity or folly is the object of our aversion, tho' nothing be more certain, than that he has not the least intention of displeasing us by these qualities. But if the uneafiness proceed not from a quality, but an action, which is produc'd and annihilated in a moment, 'tis necessary, in order to produce some relation, and connect this action fufficiently with the person, that it be deriv'd from a particular fore-thought and defign. 'Tis not enough, that the action arise from the person, VOL. II. K

Of love and hatred.

130

PART person, and have him for its immediate cause and author. This relation alone is too feeble and inconfrant to be a foundation for these passions. It reaches not the senfible and thinking part, and neither proceeds from any thing durable in him, nor leaves any thing behind it; but paffes in a moment, and is as if it had never been. On the other hand, an intention shews certain qualities, which remaining after the action is perform'd, connect it with the perfon, and facilitate the transition of ideas from one to the other. We can never think of him without reflecting on these qualities; unless repentance and a change of life have produc'd an alteration in that respect: In which case the passion is likewise alter'd. This therefore is one reason, why an intention is requifite to excite either love or hatred.

> Bur we must farther consider, that an intention, befides its strengthening the relation of ideas, is often necessary to produce a relation of impressions, and give rife to pleasure and uneasiness. For 'tis observable, that the principal part of an injury is the contempt and hatred, which it shews in the person, that injures us; and without that, the mere harm gives us a less sensible uneafiness

IZI

uneafiness. In like manner, a good office SECT. is agreeable, chiefly because it flatters our III. vanity, and is a proof of the kindness and Difficulties esteem of the person, who performs it. solv'd. The removal of the intention, removes the mortification in the one case, and vanity in the other; and must of course cause a remarkable diminution in the paffions of love and hatred.

I GRANT, that these effects of the removal of defign, in diminishing the relations of impressions and ideas, are not entire, nor able to remove every degree of these relations. But then I ask, if the removal of defign be able entirely to remove the passion of love and hatred? Experience, I am fure, informs us of the contrary, nor is there any thing more certain, than that men often fall into a violent anger for injuries, which they themselves must own to be entirely involuntary and accidental. This emotion, indeed, cannot be of long continuance; but still is sufficient to shew. that there is a natural connexion betwixt uneafiness and anger, and that the relation of impressions will operate upon a very small relation of ideas. But when the violence of the impression is once a little abated, the defect of the relation begins to be better felt; K 2

PART and as the character of a person is no wise interested in such injuries as are casual and involuntary, it seldom happens that on their account, we entertain a lasting entity.

To illustrate this doctrine by a parallel instance, we may observe, that not only the uneasiness, which proceeds from another by accident, has but little force to excite our passion, but also that which arises from an acknowledg'd necessity and duty. One that has a real design of harming us, proceeding not from hatred and ill-will, but from justice and equity, draws not upon him our anger, if we be in any degree reasonable; notwithstanding he is both the cause, and the knowing cause of our sufferings. Let us examine a little this phænomenon.

"T is evident in the first place, that this circumstance is not decisive; and tho' it may be able to diminish the passions, 'tis feldom it can entirely remove them. How few criminals are there, who have no ill-will to the person, that accuses them, or to the judge, that condemns them, even tho' they be conscious of their own deserts? In like manner our antagonist in a law-suit, and our competitor for any office,

I33

office, are commonly regarded as our ene-SECT. mies; tho' we must acknowledge, if we III. wou'd but reslect a moment, that their mo-Difficulties tive is entirely as justifiable as our own.

Besides we may confider, that when we receive harm from any person, we are apt to imagine him criminal, and 'tis with extreme difficulty we allow of his justice and innocence. This is a clear proof, that, independent of the opinion of iniquity, any harm or uneasiness has a natural tendency to excite our hatred, and that afterwards we seek for reasons upon which we may justify and establish the passion. Here the idea of injury produces not the passion, but arises from it.

No R is it any wonder that passion shou'd produce the opinion of injury; since otherwise it must suffer a considerable diminution, which all the passions avoid as much possible. The removal of injury may remove the anger, without proving that the anger arises only from the injury. The harm and the justice are two contrary objects, of which the one has a tendency to produce hatred, and the other love; and tisaccording to their different degrees, and our particular turn of thinking, that either

K 3 of

PART of the objects prevails, and excites its proper II. passion.

Of love and hatred.

SECT. IV.

Of the love of relations.

AVING given a reason, why several actions, that cause a real pleasure or uneasiness, excite not any degree, or but a small one, of the passion of love or hatred towards the actors; 'twill be necessary to shew, wherein consists the pleasure or uneasiness of many objects, which we find by experience to produce these passions.

ACCORDING to the preceding fystem there is always requir'd a double relation of impressions and ideas betwixt the cause and effect, in order to produce either love or hatred. But tho' this be universally true, 'tis remarkable that the passion of love may be excited by only one relation of a different kind, viz. betwixt ourselves and the object; or more properly speaking, that this relation is always attended with both the others. Whoever is united to us by any connexion is always sure of a share of our love, proportion'd to the connexion, without enquiring into his other qualities. Thus

135

the relation of blood produces the strongest Sect. tie the mind is capable of in the love of IV. parents to their children, and a lesser degree of the same affection, as the relation lessel love of refers. Nor has consanguinity alone this effect, but any other relation without exception. We love our country-men, our neighbours, those of the same trade, profession, and even name with ourselves. Every one of these relations is esteem'd some tie, and gives a title to a share of our affection.

THERE is another phænomenon, which is parallel to this, viz. that acquaintance, without any kind of relation, gives rife to love and kindness. When we have contracted a habitude and intimacy with any perfon; tho' in frequenting his company we have not been able to discover any very valuable quality, of which he is posses'd; yet we cannot forbear preferring him to strangers, of whose superior merit we are fully convinc'd. These two phænomena of the effects of relation and acquaintance will give mutual light to each other, and may be both explain'd from the same principle.

THOSE, who take a pleasure in declaiming against human nature, have observ'd, that man is altogether insufficient to support himself; and that when you loosen all the

K 4 holds,

II. and ha-

PART holds, which he has of external objects, he immediately drops down into the deepest melancholy and despair. From this, fay they, proceeds that continual fearch after amusement in gaming, in hunting, in business; by which we endeavour to forget ourfelves, and excite our spirits from the languid state, into which they fall, when not fustain'd by some brisk and lively emotion. To this method of thinking I fo far agree, that I own the mind to be infufficient, of itself, to its own entertainment, and that it naturally feeks after foreign objects, which may produce a lively fensation, and agitate the spirits. On the appearance of fuch an object it awakes, as it were, from a dream: The blood flows with a new tide: The heart is elevated: And the whole man acquires a vigour, which he cannot command in his folitary and calm moments. Hence company is naturally fo rejoicing, as presenting the liveliest of all objects, viz. a rational and thinking Being like ourselves, who communicates to us all the actions of his mind; makes us privy to his inmost sentiments and affections; and lets us fee, in the very instant of their production, all the emotions, which are caus'd by any object. Every lively idea is agreeable, but especially

137

that of a paffion, because such an idea be-Sect. comes a kind of passion, and gives a more IV. sensible agitation to the mind, than any o-Of the love of re-lations.

THIS being once admitted, all the rest is eafy. For as the company of strangers is agreeable to us for a short time, by inlivening our thought; fo the company of our relations and acquaintance must be peculiarly agreeable, because it has this effect in a greater degree, and is of more durable influence. Whatever is related to us is conceiv'd in a lively manner by the easy tranfition from ourselves to the related object. Custom also, or acquaintance facilitates the entrance, and strengthens the conception of any object. The first case is parallel to our reasonings from cause and effect; the second to education. And as reasoning and education concur only in producing a lively and strong idea of any object; so is this the only particular, which is common to relation and acquaintance. This must, therefore, be the influencing quality, by which they produce all their common effects; and love or kindness being one of these effects, it must be from the force and liveliness of conception, that the paffion is deriv'd. Such a conception is peculiarly agreeable, and makes

PART makes us have an affectionate regard for II. every thing, that produces it, when the proper object of kindness and good-will. Of love and ba-

tred.

'Tis obvious, that people affociate together according to their particular tempers and dispositions, and that men of gay tempers naturally love the gay; as the ferious bear an affection to the ferious. This not only happens, where they remark this refemblance betwixt themselves and others, but also by the natural course of the dispofition, and by a certain fympathy, which always arises betwixt fimilar characters. Where they remark the refemblance, it operates after the manner of a relation, by producing a connexion of ideas. Where they do not remark it, it operates by fome other principle; and if this latter principle be fimilar to the former, it must be receiv'd as a confirmation of the foregoing reasoning.

THE idea of ourselves is always intimately present to us, and conveys a fenfible degree of vivacity to the idea of any other object, to which we are related. This lively idea changes by degrees into a real impression; these two kinds of perception being in a great measure the same, and differing only in their degrees of force and vivacity. But this change must be produc'd

139

with the greater ease, that our natural tem- SECT. per gives us a propenfity to the fame im- IV. pression, which we observe in others, and of the makes it arise upon any slight occasion. In love of rethat case resemblance converts the idea into an impression, not only by means of the relation, and by transfusing the original vivacity into the related idea; but also by prefenting fuch materials as take fire from the least spark. And as in both cases a love or affection arises from the resemblance, we may learn that a fympathy with others is agreeable only by giving an emotion to the spirits, fince an easy sympathy and correfpondent emotions are alone common to relation, acquaintance, and resemblance.

The great propensity men have to pride may be consider'd as another similar phænomenon. It often happens, that after we have liv'd a considerable time in any city; however at first it might be disagreeable to us; yet as we become familiar with the objects, and contract an acquaintance, tho' merely with the streets and buildings, the aversion diminishes by degrees, and at last changes into the opposite passion. The mind finds a satisfaction and ease in the view of objects, to which it is accustom'd, and naturally prefers them to others, which, tho',

PART tho', perhaps, in themselves more valuable, are less known to it. By the same quality of the mind we are seduc'd into a good opinion of ourselves, and of all objects, that belong to us. They appear in a stronger light; are more agreeable; and consequently fitter subjects of pride and vanity, than any other.

IT may not be amis, in treating of the affection we bear our acquaintance and relations, to observe some pretty curious phænomena, which attend it. 'Tis eafy to remark in common life, that children esteem their relation to their mother to be weaken'd. in a great measure, by her second marriage, and no longer regard her with the same eye, as if she had continu'd in her state of wi-Nor does this happen only, dow-hood. when they have felt any inconveniencies from her fecond marriage, or when her husband is much her inferior; but even without any of these considerations, and merely because she has become part of another family. This also takes place with regard to the fecond marriage of a father; but in a much less degree: And 'tis certain the ties of blood are not fo much loofen'd in the latter case as by the marriage of a mother. These two phænomena are remarkable.

14.I

markable in themselves, but much more so SECT. when compar'd. IV.

In order to produce a perfect relation of the betwixt two objects, 'tis requisite, not only love of rethat the imagination be convey'd from one to the other by refemblance, contiguity or causation, but also that it return back from the fecond to the first with the same ease and facility. At first fight this may feem a necessary and unavoidable consequence. If one object refemble another, the latter object must necessarily resemble the former. If one object be the cause of another, the fecond object is effect to its cause. 'Tis the fame case with contiguity: And therefore the relation being always reciprocal, it may be thought, that the return of the imagination from the fecond to the first must alfo, in every case, be equally natural as its passage from the first to the second. But upon farther examination we shall easily difcover our miftake. For fuppofing the fecond object, befide its reciprocal relation to the first, to have also a strong relation to a third object; in that case the thought, pasfing from the first object to the second, returns not back with the same facility, tho' the relation continues the fame; but is readily carry'd on to the third object, by means

Of love and batred.

PART of the new relation, which presents itself and gives a new impulse to the imagination. This new relation, therefore, weakens the tie betwixt the first and second objects. The fancy is by its very nature wavering and inconftant; and confiders always two objects as more strongly related together, where it finds the passage equally eafy both in going and returning, than where the transition is easy only in one of these motions. The double motion is a kind of a double tie, and binds the objects together in the closest and most intimate manner.

THE fecond marriage of a mother breaks not the relation of child and parent; and that relation fuffices to convey my imagination from myfelf to her with the greatest ease and facility. But after the imagination is arriv'd at this point of view, it finds its object to be furrounded with fo many other relations, which challenge its regard, that it knows not which to prefer, and is at a loss what new object to pitch upon. ties of interest and duty bind her to another family, and prevent that return of the fancy from her to myself, which is necessary to fupport the union. The thought has no longer the vibration, requisite to set it perfectly

143

fectly at ease, and indulge its inclination to SECT. change. It goes with facility, but returns IV. with difficulty; and by that interruption of the finds the relation much weaken'd from what love of reit wou'd be were the passage open and easy on both sides.

Now to give a reason, why this effect follows not in the same degree upon the fecond marriage of a father: we may reflect on what has been prov'd already, that tho' the imagination goes eafily from the view of a leffer object to that of a greater, yet it returns not with the fame facility from the greater to the less. When my imagination goes from myself to my father, it passes not fo readily from him to his fecond wife, nor confiders him as entering into a different family, but as continuing the head of that family, of which I am myself a part. His superiority prevents the easy transition of the thought from him to his fpouse, but keeps the passage still open for a return to myself along the same relation of child and parent. He is not funk in the new relation he acquires; fo that the double motion or vibration of thought is still easy and natural. By this indulgence of the fancy in its inconstancy, the tie of child and parent still preferves its full force and influence.

A

PART
II.
Of love
and hatred.

A MOTHER thinks not her tie to a fon weaken'd, because 'tis shar'd with her husband: Nor a son his with a parent, because 'tis shar'd with a brother. The third object is here related to the first, as well as to the second; so that the imagination goes and comes along all of them with the greatest facility.

SECT. V.

Of our esteem for the rich and powerful.

SECT. V. OTHING has a greater tendency to give us an esteem for any person, than his power and riches; or a contempt, than his poverty and meanness: And as esteem and contempt are to be consider'd as species of love and hatred, 'twill be proper in this place to explain these phænomena.

HERE it happens most fortunately, that the greatest difficulty is not to discover a principle capable of producing such an effect, but to choose the chief and predominant among several, that present themselves. The satisfaction we take in the riches of others, and

T45

and the esteem we have for the possessors SECT. may be ascrib'd to three different causes. First, To the objects they posses; such as of our houses, gardens, equipages; which, being esteem for agreeable in themselves, necessarily produce and powa fentiment of pleasure in every one, that erful either confiders or furveys them. Secondly, To the expectation of advantage from the rich and powerful by our sharing their pos-Thirdly, To fympathy, which feffions. makes us partake of the fatisfaction of every one, that approaches us. All these principles may concur in producing the present phænomenon. The question is, to which of them we ought principally to ascribe it.

'Tis certain, that the first principle, viz. the reflection on agreeable objects, has a greater influence, than what, at first fight, we may be apt to imagine. We feldom reflect on what is beautiful or ugly, agreeable or difagreeable, without an emotion of pleasure or uneafiness; and tho' these fensations appear not much in our common indolent way of thinking, 'tis eafy, either in reading or conversation, to discover them. Men of wit always turn the discourse on fubjects that are entertaining to the imagination; and poets never present any objects but fuch as are of the same nature. Mr. Philips VOL. II.

II. Of love and batred.

PART Philips has chosen Cyder for the subject of an excellent poem. Beer wou'd not have been fo proper, as being neither fo agreeable to the taste nor eye. But he wou'd certainly have preferr'd wine to either of them. cou'd his native country have afforded him fo ageeeable a liquor. We may learn from thence, that every thing, which is agreeable to the fenses, is also in some measure agreeable to the fancy, and conveys to the thought an image of that fatisfaction, which it gives by its real application to the bodily organs.

> But tho' these reasons may induce us to comprehend this delicacy of the imagination among the causes of the respect, which we pay the rich and powerful, there are many other reasons, that may keep us from regarding it as the fole or principal. For as the ideas of pleasure can have an influence only by means of their vivacity, which makes them approach impreffions, 'tis most natural those ideas shou'd have that influence, which are favour'd by most circumstances, and have a natural tendency to become strong and lively; such as our ideas of the passions and sensations of any human creature. Every human creature refembles ourselves, and by that means has an advantage above any other object, in operating on the imagination. BE-

BESIDES, if we consider the nature of SECT. that faculty, and the great influence which all relations have upon it, we shall easily be of our perswaded, that however the ideas of the esteem for pleafant wines, music, or gardens, which and powthe rich man enjoys, may become lively erful and agreeable, the fancy will not confine itself to them, but will carry its view to the related objects; and in particular, to the person, who possesses them. And this is the more natural, that the pleasant idea or image produces here a paffion towards the person, by means of his relation to the object; fo that 'tis unavoidable but he must enter into the original conception, fince he makes the object of the derivative passion. But if he enters into the original conception, and is confider'd as enjoying these agreeable objects, 'tis sympathy, which is properly the cause of the affection; and the third principle is more powerful and univerfal than the first.

ADD to this, that riches and power alone, even tho' unemploy'd, naturally cause esteem and respect: And consequently these passions arise not from the idea of any beautiful or agreeable objects. 'Tis true; money implies a kind of representation of such objects, by the power it affords of obtaining them; and for that reason may still be esteem'd proper to convey those agreeable

L 2

images,

II. Of love

and batred.

148

PART images, which may give rife to the paffion. But as this prospect is very distant, 'tis more natural for us to take a contiguous object, viz. the fatisfaction, which this power affords the person, who is possest of it. And of this we shall be farther satisfy'd, if we confider, that riches represent the goods of life, only by means of the will; which employs them; and therefore imply in their very nature an idea of the person, and cannot be confider'd without a kind of fympathy with his fenfations and enjoyments.

THIS we may confirm by a reflection, which to fome will, perhaps, appear too fubtile and refin'd. I have already observ'd, that power, as distinguish'd from its exercife, has either no meaning at all, or is nothing but a poffibility or probability of existence; by which any object approaches to reality, and has a fenfible influence on the mind. I have also observ'd, that this approach, by an illusion of the fancy, appears much greater, when we ourselves are possest of the power, than when it is enjoy'd by another; and that in the former case the objects feem to touch upon the very verge of reality, and convey almost an equal fatisfaction, as if actually in our possession. Now I affert, that where we esteem a perfon upon account of his riches, we must enter enter into this fentiment of the proprietor, SECT. and that without such a sympathy the idea of the agreeable objects, which they give of our him the power to produce, wou'd have but esteem for the rich a feeble influence upon us. An avaritious and power man is respected for his money, tho' he scarce is possest of a power; that is, there scarce is a probability or even possibility of his employing it in the acquisition of the pleasures and conveniences of life. To himself alone this power seems perfect and entire; and therefore we must receive his sentiments by sympathy, before we can have a strong intense idea of these enjoyments, or esteem him upon account of them.

Thus we have found, that the first principle, viz. the agreeable idea of those objects, which riches afford the enjoyment of; resolves itself in a great measure into the third, and becomes a sympathy with the person we esteem or love. Let us now examine the second principle, viz. the agreeable expectation of advantage, and see what force we may justly attribute to it.

'Trs obvious, that tho' riches and authority undoubtedly give their owner a power of doing us fervice, yet this power is not to be confider'd as on the fame footing with that, which they afford him, of L 3 pleasing

Of love and ha-

150

PART pleafing himself, and satisfying his own appetites. Self-love approaches the power and exercife very near each other in the latter case; but in order to produce a fimilar effect in the former, we must suppose a friendship and good-will to be conjoin'd with the riches. Without that circumstance 'tis difficult to conceive on what we can found our hope of advantage from the riches of others, tho' there is nothing more certain than that we naturally efteem and respect the rich, even before we discover in them any fuch favourable disposition towards us.

But I carry this farther, and observe not only that we respect the rich and powerful, where they shew no inclination to ferve us, but also when we lie so much out of the sphere of their activity, that they cannot even be suppos'd to be endow'd with that power. Prisoners of war are always treated with a respect suitable to their condition; and 'tis certain riches go very far towards fixing the condition of any person. If birth and quality enter for a share, this still affords us an argument of the same kind, For what is it we call a man of birth, but one who is descended from a long succesfion of rich and powerful ancestors, and who acquires our esteem by his relation to per-

fons whom we efteem? His ancestors, there- SECT. fore, tho' dead, are respected, in some meafure, on account of their riches, and con- Of our fequently without any kind of expectation. efteem for

But not to go fo far as prisoners of war and powand the dead to find inftances of this dif- erful. interested esteem for riches, let us observe with a little attention those phænomena that occur to us in common life and conversation. A man, who is himself of a competent fortune, upon coming into a company of strangers, naturally treats them with different degrees of respect and deference, as he is inform'd of their different fortunes and conditions; tho' 'tis impossible he can ever propose, and perhaps wou'd not accept of any advantage from them. A traveller is always admitted into company, and meets with civility, in proportion as his train and equipage speak him a man of great or moderate fortune. In short, the different ranks of men are, in a great measure, regulated by riches, and that with regard to superiors as well as inferiors, ftrangers as well as acquaintance.

THERE is, indeed, an answer to these arguments, drawn from the influence of general rules. It may be pretended, that being accustom'd to expect succour and pro-

L 4 tection

PART tection from the rich and powerful, and to II.

of love and bather them in their fortune, but from whom we can never hope for any advantage. The general rule still prevails, and by giving a bent to the imagination draws along the passion, in the same manner as if its proper object were real and existent.

But that this principle does not here take place, will eafily appear, if we confider, that in order to establish a general rule, and extend it beyond its proper bounds, there is requir'd a certain uniformity in our experience, and a great superiority of those instances, which are conformable to the rule, above the contrary. But here the case is quite otherwise. Of a hundred men of credit and fortune I meet with, there is not, perhaps, one from whom I can expect advantage; so that 'tis impossible any custom can ever prevail in the present case.

UPON THE WHOLE, there remains nothing, which can give us an esteem for power and riches, and a contempt for meanness and poverty, except the principle of sympathy, by which we enter into the sentiments of the rich and poor, and partake of their pleasure and uncasiness. Riches

153

give satisfaction to their possessor; and this Sect. satisfaction is convey'd to the beholder by the imagination, which produces an idea of our resembling the original impression in force estimated the rich and vivacity. This agreeable idea or im- and powpression is connected with love, which is erful. an agreeable passion. It proceeds from a thinking conscious being, which is the very object of love. From this relation of impressions, and identity of ideas, the passion arises, according to my hypothesis.

THE best method of reconciling us to this opinion is to take a general furvey of the universe, and observe the force of sympathy thro' the whole animal creation, and the easy communication of sentiments from one thinking being to another. In all creatures, that prey not upon others, and are not agitated with violent paffions, there appears a remarkable defire of company, which affociates them together, without any advantages they can ever propose to reap from their union. This is still more conspicuous in man, as being the creature of the universe, who has the most ardent defire of fociety, and is fitted for it by the most advantages. We can form no wish, which has not a reference to fociety. A perfect folitude is, perhaps, the greatest punishment

Of love

and batred.

PART we can fuffer. Every pleasure languishes when enjoy'd a-part from company, and every pain becomes more cruel and intolerable. Whatever other passions we may be actuated by; pride, ambition, avarice curiofity, revenge or lust; the foul or animating principle of them all is fympathy; nor wou'd they have any force, were we to abstract entirely from the thoughts and fentiments of others. Let all the powers and elements of nature conspire to serve and obey one man: Let the fun rise and set at his command: The fea and rivers roll as he pleafes, and the earth furnish spontaneously whatever may be useful or agreeable to him: He will still be miserable, till you give him fome one person at least, with whom he may share his happiness, and whose esteem and friendship he may enjoy.

THIS conclusion from a general view of human nature, we may confirm by particular instances, wherein the force of fympathy is very remarkable. Most kinds of beauty are deriv'd from this origin; and tho' our first object be some senseless inanimate piece of matter, 'tis feldom we rest there, and carry not our view to its influence on sensible and rational creatures. A man, who shews us any house or building, takes par-

ticular

ticular care among other things to point out SECT. the convenience of the apartments, the advantages of their fituation, and the little of our room lost in the stairs, anti-chambers and esteem for passages; and indeed 'tis evident, the chief the rich part of the beauty confifts in these particu- erful. lars. The observation of convenience gives pleasure, fince convenience is a beauty. But after what manner does it give pleafure? 'Tis certain our own interest is not in the least concern'd; and as this is a beauty of interest, not of form, so to speak, it must delight us merely by communication, and by our fympathizing with the proprietor of the lodging. We enter into his interest by the force of imagination, and feel the fame fatisfaction, that the objects naturally occasion in him.

This observation extends to tables, chairs, scritoires, chimneys, coaches, sadles, ploughs, and indeed to every work of art; it being an universal rule, that their beauty is chiefly deriv'd from their utility, and from their stress for that purpose, to which they are destin'd. But this is an advantage, that concerns only the owner, nor is there any thing but sympathy, which can interest the spectator,

TIS.

PART

I. m

Of love fc.
and ba- tic

'Tis evident, that nothing renders a field more agreeable than its fertility, and that scarce any advantages of ornament or situation will be able to equal this beauty. 'Tis the fame case with particular trees and plants, as with the field on which they grow. I know not but a plain, overgrown with furze and broom, may be, in itself, as beautiful as a hill cover'd with vines or olive-trees; tho' it will never appear fo to one, who is acquainted with the value of each. But this is a beauty merely of imagination, and has no foundation in what appears to the fenses. Fertility and value have a plain reference to use; and that to riches, joy, and plenty; in which tho' we have no hope of partaking, yet we enter into them by the vivacity of the fancy, and share them, in some measure, with the proprietor.

THERE is no rule in painting more reafonable than that of ballancing the figures, and placing them with the greatest exactness on their proper center of gravity. A figure, which is not justly ballanc'd, is disagreeable; and that because it conveys the ideas of its fall, of harm, and of pain: Which ideas are painful, when by sympa-

157

love

thy they acquire any degree of force and SECT. vivacity.

ADD to this, that the principal part of Of our personal beauty is an air of health and vielsem for gour, and such a construction of members and power as promises strength and activity. This erful idea of beauty cannot be accounted for but by sympathy.

In general we may remark, that the minds of men are mirrors to one another, not only because they reflect each others emotions, but also because those rays of pasfions, fentiments and opinions may be often reverberated, and may decay away by infenfible degrees. Thus the pleasure, which a rich man receives from his possessions, being thrown upon the beholder, causes a pleasure and esteem; which sentiments again, being perceiv'd and fympathiz'd with, encrease the pleasure of the possessor; and being once more reflected, become a new foundation for pleasure and esteem in the beholder. There is certainly an original fatisfaction in riches deriv'd from that power, which they bestow, of enjoying all the pleasures of life; and as this is their very nature and essence, it must be the first source of all the pasfions, which arise from them. One of the most considerable of these passions is that of

Of love and batred.

PART love or esteem in others, which therefore proceeds from a sympathy with the pleasure of the possessor. But the possessor has also a fecondary fatisfaction in riches arifing from the love and esteem he acquires by them. and this fatisfaction is nothing but a fecond reflexion of that original pleafure, which proceeded from himfelf. This fecondary fatisfaction or vanity becomes one of the principal recommendations of riches, and is the chief reason, why we either defire them for ourfelves, or esteem them in others. Here then is a third rebound of the original pleasure; after which 'tis difficult to diftinguish the images and reflexions, by reason of their faintness and confusion.

SECT. VI.

Of benevolence and anger.

SECT. TDEAS may be compar'd to the exten-I fion and folidity of matter, and impreffions, especially reflective ones, to colours. taftes, fmells and other fenfible qualities. Ideas never admit of a total union, but are endow'd with a kind of impenetrability, by which they exclude each other, and are capable

pable of forming a compound by their SECT. conjunction, not by their mixture. On VI. the other hand, impressions and passions are of benefusceptible of an entire union; and like colours, may be blended so perfectly together, that each of them may lose itself, and contribute only to vary that uniform im-

preffion, which arises from the whole. Some of the most curious phænomena of the hu-

man mind are deriv'd from this property of the paffions.

In examining those ingredients, which are capable of uniting with love and hatred, I begin to be fenfible, in some measure, of a misfortune, that has attended every fystem of philosophy, with which the world has been yet acquainted. 'Tis commonly found, that in accounting for the operations of nature by any particular hypothesis; among a number of experiments, that quadrate exactly with the principles we wou'd endeavour to establish; there is always some phænomenon, which is more stubborn, and will not fo eafily bend to our purpose. We need not be furpriz'd, that this shou'd happen in natural philosophy. The effence and composition of external bodies are so obscure, that we must necessarily, in our reasonings, or rather conjectures concerning them,

Of love and batred.

160

PART them, involve ourselves in contradictions and abfurdities. But as the perceptions of the mind are perfectly known, and I have us'd all imaginable caution in forming conclufions concerning them, I have always hop'd to keep clear of those contradictions, which have attended every other fystem. Accordingly the difficulty, which I have at prefent in my eye, is no-wife contrary to my fystem; but only departs a little from that fimplicity, which has been hitherto its principal force and beauty.

THE passions of love and hatred are always follow'd by, or rather conjoin'd with benevolence and anger. 'Tis this conjunction, which chiefly distinguishes these affections from pride and humility. For pride and humility are pure emotions in the foul, unattended with any defire, and not immediately exciting us to action. But love and hatred are not compleated within themfelves, nor rest in that emotion, which they produce, but carry the mind to fomething farther. Love is always follow'd by a defire of the happiness of the person belov'd, and an aversion to his misery: As hatred produces a defire of the mifery and an aversion to the happiness of the person hated. So remarkable a difference betwixt these two fets of passions of pride and humility, love SECT. and hatred, which in so many other particulars correspond to each other, merits our Of beneatention.

THE conjunction of this defire and aversion with love and hatred may be accounted for by two different hypotheses. The first is, that love and hatred have not only a cause, which excites them, viz. pleasure and pain; and an object, to which they are directed, viz. a person or thinking being; but likewise an end, which they endeavour to attain, viz. the happiness or misery of the person belov'd or hated; all which views, mixing together, make only one paffion. According to this system, love is nothing but the defire of happiness to another person, and hatred that of misery. The defire and aversion constitute the very nature of love and hatred. They are not only inseparable but the same.

But this is evidently contrary to experience. For tho' 'tis certain we never love any person without desiring his happiness, nor hate any without wishing his misery, yet these desires arise only upon the ideas of the happiness or misery of our friend or enemy being presented by the imagination,

Vol. II. M and

II. Of love and batred.

PART and are not absolutely effential to love and They are the most obvious and nahatred. tural fentiments of these affections, but not the only ones. The passions may express themselves in a hundred ways, and may fubfift a confiderable time, without our reflecting on the happiness or misery of their objects; which clearly proves, that these defires are not the same with love and hatred. nor make any effential past of them.

> WE may, therefore, infer, that benevolence and anger are passions different from love and hatred, and only conjoin'd with them, by the original constitution of the mind. As nature has given to the body certain appetites and inclinations, which she encreases, diminishes, or changes according to the fituation of the fluids or folids; she has proceeded in the fame manner with the mind. According as we are poffes'd with love or hatred, the correspondent defire of the happiness or misery of the perfon, who is the object of these passions, arifes in the mind, and varies with each variation of these opposite passions. This order of things, abstractedly consider'd, is not necessary. Love and hatred might have been unattended with any fuch defires, or their

163

their particular connexion might have been SECT. entirely revers'd. If nature had so pleas'd, VI. love might have had the same effect as hatred, and hatred as love. I see no contravolence and anger. diction in supposing a desire of producing misery annex'd to love, and of happiness to hatred. If the sensation of the passion and desire be opposite, nature cou'd have alter'd the sensation without altering the tendency of the desire, and by that means made them compatible with each other.

SECT. VII.

Of compassion.

BUT tho' the defire of the happiness SECT. or misery of others, according to the VII. love or hatred we bear them, be an arbitrary and original instinct implanted in our nature, we find it may be counterseited on many occasions, and may arise from secondary principles. Pity is a concern for, and malice a joy in the misery of others, without any friendship or enmity to occasion this concern or joy. We pity even strangers, and such as are persectly indifferent to us: And if our ill-will to another proceed

PART from any harm or injury, it is not, properly fpeaking, malice, but revenge. But if we examine these affections of pity and malice we shall find them to be secondary ones, arising from original affections, which are varied by some particular turn of thought and imagination.

"Twill be eafy to explain the passion of pity, from the precedent reasoning concerning sympathy. We have a lively idea of every thing related to us. All human creatures are related to us by resemblance. Their persons, therefore, their interests, their passions, their pains and pleasures must strike upon us in a lively manner, and produce an emotion similar to the original one; since a lively idea is easily converted into an impression. If this be true in general, it must be more so of affliction and sorrow. These have always a stronger and more lasting influence than any pleasure or enjoyment.

A SPECTATOR of a tragedy passes thro a long train of grief, terror, indignation, and other affections, which the poet represents in the persons he introduces. As many tragedies end happily, and no excellent one can be compos'd without some reverses

verses of fortune, the spectator must sympa- SECT. thize with all these changes, and receive VII. the fictitious joy as well as every other paf- Of comfion. Unless, therefore, it be afferted, that passion. every diffinct paffion is communicated by a distinct original quality, and is not deriv'd from the general principle of fympathy above-explain'd, it must be allow'd, that all of them arise from that principle. To except any one in particular must appear highly unreasonable. As they are all first prefent in the mind of one person, and afterwards appear in the mind of another; and as the manner of their appearance, first as an idea, then as an impression, is in every case the same, the transition must arise from the same principle. I am at least fure, that this method of reasoning wou'd be confider'd as certain, either in natural philofophy or common life.

ADD to this, that pity depends, in a great measure, on the contiguity, and even fight of the object; which is a proof, that 'tis deriv'd from the imagination. Not to. mention that women and children are most fubject to pity, as being most guided by that faculty. The fame infirmity, which makes them faint at the fight of a naked fword, M 3

II.

Of love and ba-

tred.

PART fword, tho' in the hands of their best friend makes them pity extremely those, whom they find in any grief or affliction. Those philosophers, who derive this passion from I know not what fubtile reflections on the instability of fortune, and our being liable to the same miseries we behold, will find this observation contrary to them among a great many others, which it were easy to produce.

> THERE remains only to take notice of a pretty remarkable phænomenon of this paffion; which is, that the communicated paffion of fympathy fometimes acquires strength from the weakness of its original, and even arises by a transition from affections, which have no existence. Thus when a person obtains any honourable office, or inherits a great fortune, we are always the more rejoic'd for his prosperity, the less fense he seems to have of it, and the greater equanimity and indifference he shews in its enjoyment. In like manner a man, who is not dejected by misfortunes, is the more lamented on account of his patience; and if that virtue extends fo far as utterly to remove all fense of uneafiness, it still farther encreases our compassion. When a person of merit falls

167

falls into what is vulgarly esteem'd a great SECT. misfortune, we form a notion of his con- VII. dition; and carrying our fancy from the Of comcause to the usual effect, first conceive a passion. lively idea of his forrow, and then feel an impression of it, entirely over-looking that greatness of mind, which elevates him above fuch emotions, or only confidering it fo far as to encrease our admiration, love and tenderness for him. We find from experience, that fuch a degree of paffion is usually connected with such a misfortune; and tho' there be an exception in the prefent case, yet the imagination is affected by the general rule, and makes us conceive a lively idea of the passion, or rather feel the passion itself, in the same manner, as if the person were really actuated by it. From the same principles we blush for the conduct of those, who behave themselves foolishly before us; and that tho' they shew no fense of shame, nor feem in the least conscious of their folly. All this proceeds from fympathy; but 'tis of a partial kind, and views its objects only on one fide, without confidering the other, which has a contrary effect, and wou'd entirely destroy that emotion, which arises from the first appearance.

M 4

WE

PART

II. di

Of love en

and hatred.

WE have also instances, wherein an indifference and infenfibility under misfortune encreases our concern for the misfortunate. even tho' the indifference proceed not from any virtue and magnanimity. 'Tis an aggravation of a murder, that it was committed upon persons asleep and in persect security; as historians readily observe of any infant prince, who is captive in the hands of his enemies, that he is more worthy of compassion the less sensible he is of his miserable condition. As we ourselves are here acquainted with the wretched fituation of the person, it gives us a lively idea and sensation of forrow, which is the passion that generally attends it; and this idea becomes still more lively, and the fenfation more violent by a contrast with that security and indifference, which we observe in the person himfelf. A contrast of any kind never fails to affect the imagination, especially when prefented by the subject; and 'tis on the imagination that pity entirely depends *.

* To prevent all ambiguity, I must observe, that where I oppose the imagination to the memory, I mean in general the faculty that presents our fainter ideas. In all other places, and particularly when it is opposed to the understanding, I understand the same faculty, excluding only our demonstrative and probable reasonings.

SECT.

SECT. VIII.

Of malice and envy.

E must now proceed to account for Sect. the passion of malice, which imi-VIII. tates the effects of hatred, as pity does those of love; and gives us a joy in the sufferings and miseries of others, without any offence or injury on their part.

So little are men govern'd by reason in their fentiments and opinions, that they always judge more of objects by comparison than from their intrinsic worth and value. When the mind confiders, or is accustom'd to, any degree of perfection, whatever falls short of it, tho' really esteemable, has notwithstanding the same effect upon the pasfions, as what is defective and ill. This is an original quality of the foul, and fimilar to what we have every day experience of in our bodies. Let a man heat one hand and cool the other; the fame water will, at the same time, seem both hot and cold, according to the disposition of the different organs. A fmall degree of any quality, fucceeding a greater, produces the same senfation,

PART fation, as if less than it really is, and even fometimes as the opposite quality. Any gentle pain, that follows a violent one, seems as nothing, or rather becomes a pleasure; as on the other hand a violent pain, succeeding a gentle one, is doubly grievous and uneasy.

THIS no one can doubt of with regard to our passions and sensations. But there may arise some difficulty with regard to our ideas and objects. When an object augments or diminishes to the eye or imagination from a comparison with others, the image and idea of the object are still the fame, and are equally extended in the retina, and in the brain or organ of perception. The eyes refract the rays of light, and the optic nerves convey the images to the brain in the very fame manner, whether a great or fmall object has preceded; nor does even the imagination alter the dimenfions of its object on account of a comparison with others. The question then is, how from the same impression and the same idea we can form such different judgments concerning the same object, and at one time admire its bulk, and at another despise its littleness. This variation in our judgments must

ITI

must certainly proceed from a variation in SECT. fome perception; but as the variation lies VIII. not in the immediate impression or idea of of malice the object, it must lie in some other im- and envy.

pression, that accompanies it.

In order to explain this matter, I shall just touch upon two principles, one of which shall be more fully explain'd in the progress of this treatise; the other has been already accounted for. I believe it may fafely be establish'd for a general maxim, that no object is presented to the senses, nor image form'd in the fancy, but what is accompany'd with fome emotion or movement of spirits proportion'd to it; and however custom may make us insensible of this fensation, and cause us to confound it with the object or idea, 'twill be eafy, by careful and exact experiments, to separate and distinguish them. For to instance only in the cases of extension and number; 'tis evident, that any very bulky object, fuch as the ocean, an extended plain, a vast chain of mountains, a wide forest; or any very numerous collection of objects, fuch as an army, a fleet, a crowd, excite in the mind a fenfible emotion; and that the admiration, which arises on the appearance of such objects,

172

PART jects, is one of the most lively pleasures, which human nature is capable of enjoying. Now as this admiration encreases or di-Of love minishes by the encrease or diminution of and hatred. the objects, we may conclude, according to our foregoing * principles, that 'tis a compound effect, proceeding from the conjunction of the feveral effects, which arise from each part of the cause. Every part, then, of extension, and every unite of number has a feparate emotion attending it, when conceiv'd by the mind; and tho' that emotion be not always agreeable, yet by its conjunction with others, and by its agitating the spirits to a just pitch, it contributes to the production of admiration, which is always agreeable. If this be allow'd with respect to extension and number, we can make no difficulty with respect to virtue and vice,

THE fecond principle I shall take notice of is that of our adherence to general rules; which has such a mighty influence on the actions and understanding, and is able to

* Book I. Part III. Sect. 15.

emotion.

wit and folly, riches and poverty, happiness and misery, and other objects of that kind, which are always attended with an evident

impose

173

impose on the very senses. When an object SECT. is found by experience to be always accom- VIII. pany'd with another; whenever the first of malice object appears, tho' chang'd in very mate- and envy. rial circumstances; we naturally fly to the conception of the fecond, and form an idea of it in as lively and strong a manner, as if we had infer'd its existence by the justest and most authentic conclusion of our understanding. Nothing can undeceive us, not even our fenses, which, instead of correcting this false judgment, are often perverted by it, and feem to authorize its errors.

THE conclusion I draw from these two principles, join'd to the influence of comparison above-mention'd, is very short and decifive. Every object is attended with fome emotion proportion'd to it; a great object with a great emotion, a finall object with a fmall emotion. A great object, therefore, fucceeding a fmall one makes a great emotion fucceed a fmall one. Now a great emotion fucceeding a fmall one becomes still greater, and rifes beyond its ordinary proportion. But as there is a certain degree of an emotion, which commonly attends every magnitude of an object; when the emotion encreases,

Of love and ba-

tred.

PART encreases, we naturally imagine that the obiect has likewise encreas'd. The effect conveys our view to its usual cause, a certain degree of emotion to a certain magnitude of the object; nor do we confider, that comparison may change the emotion without changing any thing in the object. Those, who are acquainted with the metaphyfical part of optics, and know how we transfer the judgments and conclusions of the understanding to the fenses, will easily conceive this whole operation.

Bur leaving this new discovery of an impression, that secretly attends every idea; we must at least allow of that principle, from whence the discovery arose, that objects appear greater or less by a comparison with others. We have fo many instances of this, that it is impossible we can dispute its veracity; and 'tis from this principle I derive the passions of malice and envy.

'Tis evident we must receive a greater or less satisfaction or uneafiness from reflecting on our own condition and circumstances, in proportion as they appear more or less fortunate or unhappy, in proportion to the degrees of riches, and power, and merit, and reputation, which we think ourfelves pof-

I75

fest of. Now as we seldom judge of ob-SECT. jects from their intrinsic value, but form our VIII. notions of them from a comparison with of malice other objects; it follows, that according as and envy. we observe a greater or less share of happipiness or misery in others, we must make an estimate of our own, and feel a consequent pain or pleasure. The misery of another gives us a more lively idea of our happiness, and his happiness of our misery. The former, therefore, produces delight; and the latter uneasiness.

HERE then is a kind of pity reverst, or contrary fensations arising in the beholder, from those which are felt by the person, whom he confiders. In general we may observe, that in all kinds of comparison an object makes us always receive from another, to which it is compar'd, a fenfation contrary to what arises from itself in its direct and immediate furvey. A fmall object makes a great one appear still greater. A great object makes a little one appear less. Deformity of itself produces uneafiness; but makes us receive new pleafure by its contrast with a beautiful object, whose beauty is augmented by it; as on the other hand, beauty, which of itself produces pleasure, makes us receive

Of love and ha-

tred.

PART a new pain by the contrast with any thing ugly, whose deformity it augments. The case, therefore, must be the same with happiness and misery. The direct survey of another's pleasure naturally gives us pleasure, and therefore produces pain when compar'd with our own. His pain, confider'd in itself, is painful to us, but augments the idea of our own happiness, and gives us pleasure.

> Nor will it appear ftrange, that we may feel a reverst sensation from the happiness and mifery of others; fince we find the same comparison may give us a kind of malice against ourselves, and make us rejoice for our pains, and grieve for our pleasures. Thus the prospect of past pain is agreeable, when we are fatisfy'd with our present condition; as on the other hand our past pleasures give us uneafinefs, when we enjoy nothing at present equal to them. The comparison being the fame, as when we reflect on the fentiments of others, must be attended with the fame effects.

> NAY a person may extend this malice against himself, even to his present fortune, and carry it so far as defignedly to feek affliction, and encrease his pains and forrows.

This

This may happen upon two occasions. First, SECT. Upon the distress and misfortune of a friend, or person dear to him. Secondly, Upon the of malice feeling any remorfes for a crime, of which and envy. he has been guilty. 'Tis from the principle of comparison that both these irregular appetites for evil arife. A person, who indulges himself in any pleasure, while his friend lies under affliction, feels the reflected uneafiness from his friend more fensibly by a comparison with the original pleasure, which he himself enjoys. This contrast, indeed, ought also to inliven the present pleasure. But as grief is here suppos'd to be the predominant passion, every addition falls to that fide, and is fwallow'd up in it, without operating in the least upon the contrary affection. 'Tis the fame case with those penances, which men inflict on themselves for their past fins and failings. When a criminal reflects on the punishment he deferves, the idea of it is magnify'd by a comparison with his present ease and satisfaction; which forces him, in a manner, to feek uneafiness, in order to avoid so disagreeable a contrast.

This reasoning will account for the origin of envy as well as of malice. The only Vol. II. N difference

II. Of love and batred.

PART difference betwixt these passions lies in this. that envy is excited by fome prefent enjoyment of another, which by comparison diminishes our idea of our own: Whereas malice is the unprovok'd defire of producing evil to another, in order to reap a pleasure from the comparison. The enjoyment, which is the object of envy, is commonly superior to our own. A fuperiority naturally feems to overshade us, and presents a disagreeable comparison. But even in the case of an inferiority, we still defire a greater distance. in order to augment still more the idea of ourself. When this distance diminishes. the comparison is less to our advantage; and confequently gives us less pleasure, and is even disagreeable. Hence arises that species of envy, which men feel, when they perceive their inferiors approaching or overtaking them in the pursuit of glory or happiness. In this envy we may see the effects of comparison twice repeated. A man, who compares himself to his inferior, receives a pleasure from the comparison: And when the inferiority decreases by the elevation of the inferior, what shou'd only have been a decrease of pleasure, becomes a real pain, by a new comparison with its preceding con-TIS dition.

'Tis worthy of observation concern- SECT. ing that envy, which arises from a superi- VIII. ority in others, that 'tis not the great dif- Of malice proportion betwixt ourfelf and another, and envy. which produces it; but on the contrary, our proximity. A common foldier bears no fuch envy to his general as to his fergeant or corporal; nor does an eminent writer meet with fo great jealoufy in common hackney fcriblers. as in authors, that more nearly approach him. It may, indeed, be thought, that the greater the disproportion is, the greater must be the uneafiness from the comparison. But we may confider on the other hand, that the great disproportion cuts off the relation, and either keeps us from comparing ourselves with what is remote from us, or diminishes the effects of the comparison. Resemblance and proximity always produce a relation of ideas; and where you destroy these ties, however other accidents may bring two ideas together; as they have no bond or connecting quality to join them in the imagination; 'tis impossible they can remain long united, or have any confiderable influence on each other.

I HAVE observed in considering the nature of ambition, that the great feel a double

N 2 pleasure

II. Of love and batred.

PART pleasure in authority from the comparison of their own condition with that of their flaves; and that this comparison has a double influence, because 'tis natural, and presented by the fubject. When the fancy, in the comparison of objects, passes not easily from the one object to the other, the action of the mind is, in a great measure, broke, and the fancy, in confidering the fecond object, begins, as it were, upon a new footing. The impression, which attends every object, seems not greater in that case by succeeding a less of the fame kind; but these two impreffions are diffinct, and produce their diffinct effects, without any communication together. The want of relation in the ideas breaks the relation of the impressions, and by fuch a feparation prevents their mutual operation and influence.

> To confirm this we may observe, that the proximity in the degree of merit is not alone fufficient to give rife to envy, but must be affisted by other relations. A poet is not apt to envy a philosopher, or a poet of a different kind, of a different nation, or of a different age. All these differences prevent or weaken the comparison, and consequently the passion.

> > THIS

This too is the reason, why all objects Sect. appear great or little, merely by a compatison with those of the same species. A of malice mountain neither magnifies nor diminishes and envy. a horse in our eyes; but when a Flemish and a Welsh horse are seen together, the one appears greater and the other less, than when view'd apart.

FROM the same principle we may account for that remark of historians, that any party in a civil war always choose to call in a foreign enemy at any hazard rather than submit to their fellow-citizens. Guicciardin applies this remark to the wars in Italy, where the relations betwixt the different states are, properly speaking, nothing but of name, language, and contiguity. Yet even these relations, when join'd with fuperiority, by making the comparison more natural, make it likewise more grievous, and cause men to search for some other superiority, which may be attended with no relation, and by that means may have a less fenfible influence on the imagination. The mind quickly perceives its feveral advantages and disadvantages; and finding its fituation to be most uneasy, where superiority is conjoin'd with other relations, feeks its repose

PART repose as much as possible, by their separation, and by breaking that association of ideas, which renders the comparison so much more natural and efficacious. When it cannot break the association, it seels a stronger desire to remove the superiority; and this is the reason why travellers are commonly so lavish of their praises to the Chinese and Per-

fians, at the fame time, that they depreciate those neighbouring nations, which may stand upon a foot of rivalship with their

native country.

THESE examples from history and common experience are rich and curious; but we may find parallel ones in the arts, which are no less remarkable. Shou'd an author compose a treatise, of which one part was ferious and profound, another light and humorous, every one wou'd condemn fo strange a mixture, and wou'd accuse him of the neglect of all rules of art and criticism. These rules of art are founded on the qualitics of human nature; and the quality of human nature, which requires a confiftency in every performance, is that which renders the mind incapable of passing in a moment from one passion and disposition to a quite different one. Yet this makes us not

not blame Mr. Prior for joining his Alma SECT. and his Solomon in the same volume; tho' VIII. that admirable poet has succeeded perfectly of malice well in the gaiety of the one, as well as and envy. in the melancholy of the other. Even supposing the reader shou'd peruse these two compositions without any interval, he wou'd feel little or no difficulty in the change of passions: Why, but because he considers these performances as entirely different, and by this break in the ideas, breaks the progress of the affections, and hinders the one from influencing or contradicting the other?

A N heroic and burlesque design, united in one picture, wou'd be monstrous; tho' we place two pictures of so opposite a character in the same chamber, and even close by each other, without any scruple or difficulty.

In a word, no ideas can affect each other, either by comparison, or by the passions they separately produce, unless they be united together by some relation, which may cause an easy transition of the ideas, and consequently of the emotions or impressions, attending the ideas; and may preserve the one impression in the passage of the imagination to the object of the other. This principle is very remarkable, because it is N 4 analogous

Of love

and batred.

PART analogous to what we have observ'd both concerning the understanding and the passions. Suppose two objects to be presented to me, which are not connected by any kind of relation. Suppose that each of these objects separately produces a passion; and that these two passions are in themselves contrary: We find from experience, that the want of relation in the objects or ideas hinders the natural contrariety of the paffions, and that the break in the transition of the thought removes the affections from each other, and prevents their opposition. 'Tis the same case with comparison; and from both these phænomena we may safely conclude, that the relation of ideas must forward the transition of impressions; since its absence alone is able to prevent it, and to separate what naturally shou'd have operated upon each other. When the absence of an object or quality removes any usual or natural effect, we may certainly conclude that its presence contributes to the production of the effect.

SECT.

Of the mixture of benevolence and anger with compassion and malice.

THUS we have endeavour'd to ac-SECT. count for pity and malice. Both IX. these affections arise from the imagination, according to the light, in which it places its object. When our fancy confiders directly the fentiments of others, and enters deep into them, it makes us fensible of all the paffions it furveys, but in a particular manner of grief or forrow. On the contrary, when we compare the fentiments of others to our own, we feel a fensation directly opposite to the original one, viz. a joy from the grief of others, and a grief from their joy. But these are only the first foundations of the affections of pity and malice. Other paffions are afterwards confounded with them. There is always a mixture of love or tenderness with pity, and of hatred or anger with malice. But it must be confess'd, that this mixture seems at first fight to be contradictory to my fystem. For as pity

PART pity is an uneafines, and malice a joy, arising from the misery of others, pity shou'd naturally, as in all other cases, produce hatred; and malice, love. This contradiction I endeavour to reconcile, after the following manner.

IN order to cause a transition of passions, there is requir'd a double relation of impressions and ideas, nor is one relation sufficient to produce this effect. But that we may understand the full force of this double relation, we must consider, that 'tis not the prefent fensation alone or momentary pain or pleasure, which determines the character of any passion, but the whole bent or tendency of it from the beginning to the end. One impression may be related to another, not only when their fenfations are refembling, as we have all along suppos'd in the preceding cases; but also when their impulses or directions are fimilar and correfpondent. This cannot take place with regard to pride and humility; because these are only pure fensations, without any direction or tendency to action. We are, therefore, to look for inftances of this peculiar relation of impressions only in such affections, as are attended with a certain appetite

187

tite or defire; fuch as those of love and ha-SECT. tred. IX.

BENEVOLENCE or the appetite, which of the attends love, is a defire of the happiness of mixture of the person belov'd, and an aversion to his lence, &c. misery; as anger or the appetite, which attends hatred, is a defire of the mifery of the person hated, and an aversion to his happiness. A desire, therefore, of the happiness of another, and aversion to his misery, are fimilar to benevolence; and a defire of his mifery and aversion to his happiness are correspondent to anger. Now pity is a defire of happiness to another, and aversion to his mifery; as malice is the contrary appetite. Pity, then, is related to benevolence; and malice to anger: And as benevolence has been already found to be connected with love, by a natural and original quality, and anger with hatred; 'tis by this chain the passions of pity and malice are connected with love and hatred.

This hypothesis is founded on sufficient experience. A man, who from any motives has entertain'd a resolution of performing an action, naturally runs into every other view or motive, which may fortify that resolution, and give it authority and influence

II. Of love and hatred.

PART influence on the mind. To confirm us in any defign, we fearch for motives drawn from interest, from honour, from duty. What wonder, then, that pity and benevolence, malice, and anger, being the same defires arifing from different principles. shou'd fo totally mix together as to be undistinguishable? As to the connexion betwixt benevolence and love, anger and hatred, being original and primary, it admits of no difficulty.

> WE may add to this another experiment, viz. that benevolence and anger, and confequently love and hatred, arife when our happiness or misery have any dependance on the happiness or misery of another person, without any farther relation. I doubt not but this experiment will appear fo fingular as to excuse us for stopping a moment to confider it.

> Suppose, that two persons of the same trade shou'd feek employment in a town, that is not able to maintain both, 'tis plain the fuccess of one is perfectly incompatible with that of the other, and that whatever is for the interest of either is contrary to that of his rival, and so vice versa. Suppose again, that two merchants, tho' living

189

in different parts of the world, shou'd en-SECT. ter into co-partnership together, the advan-IX. tage or loss of one becomes immediately of the advantage or loss of his partner, and mixture of the same fortune necessarily attends both. benevolence, &c. Now 'tis evident, that in the first case, hatred always follows upon the contrariety of interests; as in the second, love arises from their union. Let us consider to what principle we can ascribe these passions.

'Trs plain they arise not from the double relations of impressions and ideas, if we regard only the prefent fenfation. For takeing the first case of rivalship; tho' the pleasure and advantage of an antagonist neceffarily causes my pain and loss, yet to counter-ballance this, his pain and lofs causes my pleasure and advantage; and supposing him to be unsuccessful, I may by this means receive from him a superior de-In the fame manner gree of fatisfaction. the fuccess of a partner rejoices me, but then his misfortunes afflict me in an equal proportion; and 'tis eafy to imagine, that the latter fentiment may in many cases preponderate. But whether the fortune of a rival or partner be good or bad, I always hate the former and love the latter.

THIS

II. Of love and ha-

PART This love of a partner cannot proceed from the relation or connexion betwixt us: in the fame manner as I love a brother or countryman. A rival has almost as close a relation to me as a partner. For as the pleasure of the latter causes my pleasure, and his pain my pain; fo the pleafure of the former causes my pain, and his pain my pleafure. The connexion, then, of cause and effect is the fame in both cases; and if in the one case, the cause and effect has a farther relation of refemblance, they have that of contrariety in the other; which, being also a species of resemblance, leaves the matter pretty equal.

THE only explication, then, we can give of this phænomenon is deriv'd from that principle of a parallel direction abovemention'd. Our concern for our own interest gives us a pleasure in the pleasure, and a pain in the pain of a partner, after the fame manner as by fympathy we feel a fensation correspondent to those, which appear in any person, who is present with us. On the other hand, the same concern for our interest makes us feel a pain in the pleasure, and a pleasure in the pain of a rival; and in short the same contrariety of fenti-

fentiments as arises from comparison and SECT. malice. Since, therefore, a parallel direction of the affections, proceeding from in- of the terest, can give rise to benevolence or an-mixture of ger, no wonder the fame parallel direction, lence, &c. deriv'd from sympathy and from comparison, shou'd have the same effect.

In general we may observe, that 'tis impossible to do good to others, from whatever motive, without feeling fome touches of kindness and good-will towards 'em; as the injuries we do, not only cause hatred in the person, who suffers them, but even in ourselves. These phænomena, indeed, may in part be accounted for from other principles.

But here there occurs a confiderable objection, which 'twill be necessary to examine before we proceed any farther. I have endeavour'd to prove, that power and riches, or poverty and meanness; which give rife to love or hatred, without producing any original pleasure or uneasiness; operate upon us by means of a fecondary fenfation deriv'd from a fympathy with that pain or fatisfaction, which they produce in the perfon, who possesses them. From a sympathy with his pleasure there arises love; from that with his uneafiness, hatred. But 'tis

II. Of love and batred.

PART a maxim, which I have just now establish'd, and which is absolutely necessary to the explication of the phænomena of pity and malice, "That 'tis not the present " fensation or momentary pain or pleasure, " which determines the character of any " paffion, but the general bent or tendency " of it from the beginning to the end," For this reason, pity or a sympathy with pain produces love, and that because it interests us in the fortunes of others, good or bad, and gives us a fecondary fenfation correspondent to the primary; in which it has the fame influence with love and benevolence. Since then this rule holds good in one case, why does it not prevail throughout, and why does fympathy in uneafiness ever produce any paffion befide good-will and kindness? Is it becoming a philosopher to alter his method of reasoning, and run from one principle to its contrary, according to the particular phænomenon, which he wou'd explain?

I HAVE mention'd two different causes, from which a transition of passion may arife, viz. a double relation of ideas and impressions, and what is similar to it, a conformity in the tendency and direction of any two

two desires, which arise from different prin-Sect. ciples. Now I assert, that when a sympa-IX. thy with uneasiness is weak, it produces ha-Of the tred or contempt by the former cause; mixture of when strong, it produces love or tenderness lence, &c. by the latter. This is the solution of the foregoing difficulty, which seems so urgent; and this is a principle founded on such e-vident arguments, that we ought to have established it, even the it were not necessary to the explication of any phænomenon.

'Tis certain, that fympathy is not always limited to the present moment, but that we often feel by communication the pains and pleasures of others, which are not in being, and which we only anticipate by the force of imagination. For supposing I saw a person persectly unknown to me, who, while afleep in the fields, was in danger of being trod under foot by horses, I shou'd immediately run to his affiftance; and in this I shou'd be actuated by the same principle of sympathy, which makes me concern'd for the present sorrows of a stranger. The bare mention of this is fufficient. Sympathy being nothing but a lively idea converted into an impression, 'tis Vol. II.

II.

Of love and ba-

tred.

PART evident, that, in confidering the future poffible or probable condition of any person, we may enter into it with fo vivid a conception as to make it our own concern; and by that means be fenfible of pains and pleafures, which neither belong to ourfelves, nor at the prefent inftant have any real exiftence.

> But however we may look forward to the future in fympathizing with any perfon, the extending of our sympathy depends in a great measure upon our sense of his present condition. 'Tis a great effort of imagination, to form fuch lively ideas even of the present sentiments of others as to feel these very sentiments; but 'tis impossible we cou'd extend this fympathy to the future, without being aided by fome circumstance in the prefent, which strikes upon us in a lively manner. When the present misery of another has any strong influence upon me, the vivacity of the conception is not confin'd merely to its immediate object, but diffuses its influence over all the related ideas, and gives me a lively notion of all the circumftances of that perfon, whether past, present, or future; possible, probable or certain. By means of this lively notion I

am

am interested in them; take part with them; SECT. and feel a fympathetic motion in my breaft, conformable to whatever I imagine in his. Of the If I diminish the vivacity of the first concep- mixture of tion, I diminish that of the related ideas; as lence, &c. pipes can convey no more water than what arises at the fountain. By this diminution I destroy the future prospect, which is neceffary to interest me perfectly in the fortune of another. I may feel the present impression, but carry my sympathy no farther, and never transfuse the force of the first conception into my ideas of the related objects. If it be another's mifery, which is presented in this feeble manner, I receive it by communication, and am affected with all the paffions related to it: But as I am not fo much interested as to concern myfelf in his good fortune, as well as his bad, I never feel the extensive sympathy, nor the passions related to it.

Now in order to know what passions are related to these different kinds of sympathy, we must consider, that benevolence is an original pleasure arising from the pleasure of the person belov'd, and a pain proceeding from his pain: From which correspondence of impressions there arises a subsequent de-

2

II. Of love and batred.

PART fire of his pleasure, and aversion to his pain. In order, then, to make a paffion run parallel with benevolence, 'tis requifite we shou'd feel these double impressions, correspondent to those of the person, whom we confider; nor is any one of them alone fufficient for that purpose. When we fympathize only with one impression, and that a painful one, this fympathy is related to anger and to hatred, upon account of the uneafiness it conveys to us. But as the extensive or limited sympathy depends upon the force of the first sympathy; it follows, that the passion of love or hatred depends upon the same principle. A strong impreffion, when communicated, gives a double tendency of the passions; which is related to benevolence and love by a fimilarity of direction; however painful the first impresfion might have been. A weak impression, that is painful, is related to anger and hatred by the refemblance of fensations. Benevolence, therefore, arises from a great degree of mifery, or any degree strongly sympathiz'd with: Hatred or contempt from a fmall degree, or one weakly fympathiz'd with; which is the principle I intended to prove and explain.

Non

Nor have we only our reason to trust SECT. to for this principle, but also experience. A IX. certain degree of poverty produces con- of the tempt; but a degree beyond causes compas- mixture of fion and good-will. We may under-value lence, &c. a peafant or fervant; but when the mifery of a beggar appears very great, or is painted in very lively colours, we fympathize with him in his afflictions, and feel in our heart evident touches of pity and benevolence. The fame object causes contrary passions according to its different degrees. The paffions, therefore, must depend upon principles, that operate in fuch certain degrees, according to my hypothesis. The encrease of the sympathy has evidently the same effect as the encrease of the misery.

A BARREN or defolate country always feems ugly and difagreeable, and commonly infpires us with contempt for the inhabitants. This deformity, however, proceeds in a great measure from a sympathy with the inhabitants, as has been already obferv'd; but it is only a weak one, and reaches no farther than the immediate sensation, which is disagreeable. The view of a city in ashes conveys benevolent sentiments; because we there enter so deep into the inte-

refts

PART rests of the miserable inhabitants, as to wish TT. for their prosperity, as well as feel their adverfity. Of love

and batred.

198

But the the force of the impression generally produces pity and benevolence, 'tis certain, that by being carry'd too far it ceases to have that effect. This, perhaps, may be worth our notice. When the uneafiness is either small in itself, or remote from us, it engages not the imagination, nor is able to convey an equal concern for the future and contingent good, as for the present and real evil. Upon its acquiring greater force, we become so interested in the concerns of the person, as to be sensible both of his good and bad fortune; and from that compleat fympathy there arises pity and benevolence. But 'twill eafily be imagin'd, that where the prefent evil strikes with more than ordinary force, it may entirely engage our attention, and prevent that double fympathy, above-mention'd. Thus we find, that tho' every one, but especially women, are apt to contract a kindness for criminals, who go to the fcaffold, and readily imagine them to be uncommonly handsome and well-shap'd; yet one, who is prefent at the cruel execution of the rack,

199

rack, feels no fuch tender emotions; but is SECT. in a manner overcome with horror, and IX. has no leifure to temper this uneafy fenfa- Of the tion by any opposite sympathy. mixture of benevo-

But the instance, which makes the lence. &c. most clearly for my hypothesis, is that wherein by a change of the objects we feparate the double fympathy even from a midling degree of the passion; in which case we find, that pity, instead of producing love and tenderness as usual, always gives rife to the contrary affection. When we observe a person in missortunes, we are affected with pity and love; but the author of that misfortune becomes the object of our strongest hatred, and is the more detested in proportion to the degree of our compassion. Now for what reason shou'd the same passion of pity produce love to the person, who suffers the misfortune, and hatred to the person, who causes it; unless it be because in the latter case the author bears a relation only to the misfortune; whereas in confidering the fufferer we carry our view on every fide, and wish for his prosperity, as well as are sensible of his affliction?

O 4 I SHALL

PART II. Of love and hatred.

200

I SHALL just observe, before I leave the present subject, that this phænomenon of the double fympathy, and its tendency to cause love, may contribute to the production of the kindness, which we naturally bear our relations and acquaintance. Custom and relation make us enter deeply into the fentiments of others; and whatever fortune we suppose to attend them, is render'd prefent to us by the imagination, and operates as if originally our own, We rejoice in their pleasures, and grieve for their forrows, merely from the force of fympathy. Nothing that concerns them is indifferent to us; and as this correspondence of fentiments is the natural attendant of love, it readily produces that affection, wolf anothermos too to some

TOBS whereas in confidering the fulfer-

LIAME I at the MOI exception of

SECT. X.

Of respect and contempt.

THERE now remains only to explain SECT. the passions of respect and contempt, along with the amorous affection, in order to understand all the passions which have any mixture of love or hatred. Let us begin with respect and contempt.

In confidering the qualities and circumflances of others, we may either regard them
as they really are in themfelves; or may
make a comparison betwixt them and our
own qualities and circumflances; or may
join these two methods of confideration.
The good qualities of others, from the first
point of view, produce love; from the second, humility; and from the third, respect;
which is a mixture of these two passions.
Their bad qualities, after the same manner,
cause either hatred, or pride, or contempt,
according to the light in which we survey
them.

THAT there is a mixture of pride in contempt, and of humility in respect, is, I think,

II. Of love and hatred.

PART too evident, from their very feeling or appearance, to require any particular proof. That this mixture arises from a tacit comparison of the person contemn'd or respected with ourselves is no less evident. The same man may cause either respect, love, or contempt by his condition and talents, according as the person, who considers him, from his inferior becomes his equal or fuperior In changing the point of view, tho' the object may remain the fame, its proportion to ourselves entirely alters; which is the cause of an alteration in the passions. These pasfions, therefore, arife from our observing the proportion; that is, from a comparison.

I HAVE already observ'd, that the mind has a much stronger propensity to pride than to humility, and have endeavour'd, from the principles of human nature, to affign a cause for this phænomenon. Whether my reasoning be receiv'd or not, the phænomenon is undisputed, and appears in many instances. Among the rest, 'tis the reason why there is a much greater mixture of pride in contempt, than of humility in respect, and why we are more elevated with the view of one below us, than mortify'd with the presence of one above us. Contempt

203

tempt or scorn has so strong a tincture of SECT.

pride, that there scarce is any other passion
discernable: Whereas in esteem or respect, Of respect
love makes a more considerable ingredient and conthan humility. The passion of vanity is so
prompt, that it rouzes at the least call;
while humility requires a stronger impulse
to make it exert itself.

But here it may reasonably be ask'd, why this mixture takes place only in some cases, and appears not on every occasion. All those objects, which cause love, when plac'd on another person, are the causes of pride, when transfer'd to ourselves; and consequently ought to be causes of humility, as well as love, while they belong to others, and are only compar'd to those, which we ourselves possess. In like manner every quality, which, by being directly confider'd, produces hatred, ought always to give rife to pride by comparison, and by a mixture of these passions of hatred and pride ought to excite contempt or fcorn. The difficulty then is, why any objects ever cause pure love or hatred, and produce not always the mixt passions of respect and contempt.

I HAVE suppos'd all along, that the paffions of love and pride, and those of humility

II. Of love and hatred.

PART mility and hatred are fimilar in their fenfations, and that the two former are always agreeable, and the two latter painful. But tho' this be univerfally true, 'tis observable, that the two agreeable, as well as the two painful passions, have some differences, and even contrarieties, which distinguish them. Nothing invigorates and exalts the mind equally with pride and vanity; tho' at the same time love or tenderness is rather found to weaken and infeeble it. The fame difference is observable betwixt the uneasy pasfions. Anger and hatred bestow a new force on all our thoughts and actions; while humility and shame deject and difcourage us. Of these qualities of the pasfions, 'twill be necessary to form a distinct idea. Let us remember, that pride and hatred invigorate the foul; and love and humility infeeble it.

From this it follows, that the' the conformity betwixt love and hatred in the agreeableness of their sensation makes them always be excited by the same objects, yet this other contrariety is the reason, why they are excited in very different degrees. Genius and learning are pleasant and magnificent objects, and by both these circumstances

205

flances are adapted to pride and vanity; but SECT. have a relation to love by their pleasure only. Ignorance and simplicity are disagree- of respect able and mean, which in the same manner and contempt. gives them a double connexion with humility, and a single one with hatred. We may, therefore, consider it as certain, that tho' the same object always produces love and pride, humility and hatred, according to its different situations, yet it seldom produces either the two former or the two latter passions in the same proportion.

"Tis here we must seek for a solution of the difficulty above-mention'd, why any object ever excites pure love or hatred, and does not always produce respect or contempt, by a mixture of humility or pride. No quality in another gives rife to humility by comparison, unless it wou'd have produc'd pride by being plac'd in ourselves; and vice versa no object excites pride by comparison, unless it wou'd have produc'd humility by the direct furvey. This is evident, objects always produce by comparison a fenfation directly contrary to their original one. Suppose, therefore, an object to be prefented, which is peculiarly fitted to produce love, but imperfectly to excite pride;

Of love and batred.

PART this object, belonging to another, gives rife directly to a great degree of love, but to a fmall one of humility by comparison; and confequently that latter paffion is scarce felt in the compound, nor is able to convert the love into respect. This is the case with good nature, good humour, facility, generofity, beauty, and many other qualities. These have a peculiar aptitude to produce love in others; but not fo great a tendency to excite pride in ourselves: For which reason the view of them, as belonging to another person, produces pure love, with but a fmall mixture of humility and respect. 'Tis easy to extend the same reasoning to the opposite passions.

BEFORE we leave this subject, it may not be amiss to account for a pretty curious phænomenon, viz. why we commonly keep at a distance such as we contemn, and allow not our inferiors to approach too near even in place and fituation. It has already been observ'd, that almost every kind of idea is attended with some emotion, even the ideas of number and extension, much more those of such objects as are esteem'd of consequence in life, and fix our attention. 'Tis not with entire indifference we can fur-

207

vey either a rich man or a poor one, but SECT. must feel some faint touches, at least, of X. respect in the former case, and of contempt in Of respect the latter. These two passions are contrary and contempt to each other; but in order to make this contrariety be felt, the objects must be someway related; otherwise the affections are totally separate and distinct, and never encounter. The relation takes place wherever the persons become contiguous; which is a general reason why we are uneasy at seeing such disproportion'd objects, as a rich man and a poor one, a nobleman and a porter, in that situation.

This uneafines, which is common to every spectator, must be more sensible to the superior; and that because the near approach of the inferior is regarded as a piece of ill-breeding, and shews that he is not sensible of the disproportion, and is no way affected by it. A sense of superiority in another breeds in all men an inclination to keep themselves at a distance from him, and determines them to redouble the marks of respect and reverence, when they are obliged to approach him; and where they do not observe that conduct, 'tis a proof they are not sensible of his superiority. From hence

Of love and batred.

PART too it proceeds, that any great difference in the degrees of any quality is call'd a distance by a common metaphor, which, however trivial it may appear, is founded on natural principles of the imagination. A great difference inclines us to produce a distance. The ideas of distance and difference are therefore, connected together. Connected ideas are readily taken for each other; and this is in general the fource of the metaphor, as we shall have occasion to observe afterwards.

SECT. XI.

Of the amorous passion, or love betwixt the fexes.

F all the compound paffions, which proceed from a mixture of love and hatred with other affections, no one better deferves our attention, than that love, which arises betwixt the sexes, as well on account of its force and violence, as those curious principles of philosophy, for which it affords us an uncontestable argument. plain, that this affection, in its most natu-

209

ral state, is deriv'd from the conjunction SECT. of three different impressions or passions, XI. viz. The pleasing sensation arising from of the abeauty; the bodily appetite for generation; morouspassand a generous kindness or good-will. The origin of kindness from beauty may be explain'd from the foregoing reasoning. The question is how the bodily appetite is excited by it.

THE appetite of generation, when confin'd to a certain degree, is evidently of the pleasant kind, and has a strong connexion with all the agreeable emotions. Joy, mirth, vanity, and kindness are all incentives to this desire; as well as music, dancing, wine, and good cheer. On the other hand, forrow, melancholy, poverty, humility are destructive of it. From this quality 'tis easily conceiv'd why it shou'd be connected with the sense of beauty.

But there is another principle that contributes to the same effect. I have observed that the parallel direction of the desires is a real relation, and no less than a resemblance in their sensation, produces a connexion among them. That we may fully comprehend the extent of this relation, we must consider, that any principal desire may be Vol. II.

Of love and batred.

PART attended with subordinate ones, which are connected with it, and to which if other defires are parallel, they are by that means related to the principal one. Thus hunger may oft be confider'd as the primary inclination of the foul, and the defire of approaching the meat as the secondary one; fince 'tis absolutely necessary to the satisfying that appetite. If an object, therefore, by any feparate qualities, inclines us to approach the meat, it naturally encreases our appetite; as on the contrary, whatever inclines us to fet our victuals at a distance, is contradictory to hunger, and diminishes our inclination to them. Now 'tis plain that beauty has the first effect, and deformity the second: Which is the reason why the former gives us a keener appetite for our victuals, and the latter is sufficient to disgust us at the most favoury dish, that cookery has invented. All this is eafily applicable to the appetite for generation.

FROM these two relations, viz. resemblance and a parallel defire, there arises such a connexion betwixt the fense of beauty, the bodily appetite, and benevolence, that they become in a manner inseparable: And we find from experience, that 'tis in-

different

21 I

different which of them advances first; fince SECT any of them is almost fure to be attended XI. with the related affections. One, who is of the inflam'd with luft, feels at least a momen-amorous tary kindness towards the object of it, and passion, &c. at the same time fancies her more beautiful than ordinary; as there are many, who begin with kindness and esteem for the wit and merit of the person, and advance from that to the other passions. But the most common species of love is that which first arises from beauty, and afterwards diffuses itself into kindness and into the bodily appetite. Kindness or esteem, and the appetite to generation, are too remote to unite easily together. The one is, perhaps, the most refin'd passion of the foul; the other the most gross and vulgar. love of beauty is plac'd in a just medium betwixt them, and partakes of both their natures: From whence it proceeds, that 'tis fo fingularly fitted to produce both.

This account of love is not peculiar to my fystem, but is unavoidable on any hypothesis. The three affections, which compose this passion, are evidently distinct, and has each of them its distinct object. 'Tis certain, therefore, that 'tis only by their relation

Of love and hatred.

212

PART lation they produce each other. relation of passions is not alone sufficient. 'Tis likewise necessary, there shou'd be a relation of ideas. The beauty of one perfon never inspires us with love for another. This then is a fensible proof of the double relation of impressions and ideas. From one instance so evident as this we may form a judgment of the rest.

> THIS may also serve in another view to illustrate what I have infifted on concerning the origin of pride and humility, love and hatred. I have observ'd, that tho' felf be the object of the first set of passions, and fome other person of the second, yet these objects cannot alone be the causes of the passions; as having each of them a relation to two contrary affections, which must from the very first moment destroy each other. Here then is the fituation of the mind, as I have already describ'd it. It has certain organs naturally fitted to produce a paffion; that passion, when produc'd, naturally turns the view to a certain object. But this not being fufficient to produce the passion, there is requir'd fome other emotion, which by a double relation of impressions and ideas may fet these principles in action, and beflow

213

flow on them their first impulse. This si-SECT. tuation is still more remarkable with regard XI. to the appetite of generation. Sex is not Of the aonly the object, but also the cause of the morous pasappetite. We not only turn our view to it, fion, &c. when actuated by that appetite; but the reflecting on it fuffices to excite the appetite. But as this cause loses its force by too great frequency, 'tis necessary it shou'd be quicken'd by fome new impulse; and that impulse we find to arise from the beauty of the person; that is, from a double relation of impressions and ideas. Since this double relation is necessary where an affection has both a distinct cause, and object, how much more fo, where it has only a diffinct object, without any determinate cause?

SECT. XII.

Of the love and hatred of animals.

BUT to pass from the passions of love SECT. and hatred, and from their mixtures XII. and compositions, as they appear in man, to the same affections, as they display them-

II. Of love and hatred.

214

PART selves in brutes; we may observe, not only that love and hatred are common to the whole fenfitive creation, but likewife that their causes, as above-explain'd, are of so fimple a nature, that they may eafily be suppos'd to operate on mere animals. There is no force of reflection or penetration requir'd. Every thing is conducted by fprings and principles, which are not peculiar to man, or any one species of animals. The conclusion from this is obvious in favour of the foregoing fystem.

Love in animals, has not for its only object animals of the fame species, but extends itself farther, and comprehends almost every fenfible and thinking being. A dog naturally loves a man above his own species, and very commonly meets with a return of affection.

As animals are but little susceptible either of the pleasures or pains of the imagination, they can judge of objects only by the fenfible good or evil, which they produce, and from that must regulate their affections towards them. Accordingly we find, that by benefits or injuries we produce their love or hatred; and that by feeding and cherishing any animal, we quickly acquire

215

his affections; as by beating and abufing SECT. him we never fail to draw on us his en-XII. mity and ill-will.

LOVE in beafts is not caus'd fo much love and by relation, as in our species; and that be- animals. cause their thoughts are not so active as to trace relations, except in very obvious instances. Yet 'tis easy to remark, that on fome occasions it has a confiderable influence upon them. Thus acquaintance, which has the fame effect as relation, always produces love in animals either to men or to each other. For the fame reason any likeness among them is the source of affection. An ox confin'd to a park with horses, will naturally join their company, if I may fo fpeak, but always leaves it to enjoy that of his own species, where he has the choice of both.

THE affection of parents to their young proceeds from a peculiar inftinct in animals, as well as in our species.

Tis evident, that fympathy, or the communication of passions, takes place among animals, no less than among men. Fear, anger, courage and other affections are frequently communicated from one animal to another, without their knowledge of that P 4 cause,

II.

Of love

and batred.

PART cause, which produc'd the original pasfion. Grief likewise is receiv'd by sympathy; and produces almost all the same confequences, and excites the fame emotions as in our species. The howlings and lamentations of a dog produce a fenfible concern in his fellows. And 'tis remarkable, that the' almost all animals use in play the fame member, and nearly the fame action as in fighting; a lion, a tyger, a cat their paws; an ox his horns; a dog his teeth; a horse his heels: Yet they most carefully avoid harming their companion, even tho' they have nothing to fear from his refentment; which is an evident proof of the fense brutes have of each other's pain and pleafure.

EVERY one has observed how much more dogs are animated when they hunt in a pack, than when they pursue their game apart; and 'tis evident this can proceed from nothing but from fympathy. 'Tis also well known to hunters, that this effect follows in a greater degree, and even in too great a degree, where two packs, that are strangers to each other, are join'd together. We might, perhaps, be at a loss to explain this phænomenon, if we

217

had not experience of a fimilar in our-SECT.
felves. XII.

ENVY and malice are passions very reof the
markable in animals. They are perhaps love and
more common than pity; as requiring less hatred of
effort of thought and imagination.



PART