## **Landesbibliothek Oldenburg**

### **Digitalisierung von Drucken**

# An Inquiry Into The Nature and Causes Of The Wealth Of Nations

In Two Volumes

**Smith, Adam** 

**London, 1776** 

Third Sort.

urn:nbn:de:gbv:45:1-1628

BOOK end of all improvement, and nothing could deserve that name of which loss was to be the necessary consequence. But loss must be the necessary consequence of improving land for the sake of a produce of which the price could never bring back the expence. If the compleat improvement and cultivation of the country be, as it most certainly is, the greatest of all publick advantages, this rife in the price of all those different forts of rude produce, instead of being confidered as a publick calamity, ought to be regarded as the necessary fore-runner and attendant of the greatest of all publick advantages. Importo indois out in the standards I the black

> This rife too in the nominal or money price of all those different forts of rude produce has been the effect, not of any degradation in the value of filver, but of a rife in their real price. They have become worth, not only a greater quantity of filver, but a greater quantity of labour and fubfiftence than before. As it cofts a greater quantity of labour and fubfishence to bring them to market, fo when they are brought thither, they represent or are equivalent to a greater quantity.

### Third Sort.

THE third and last fort of rude produce, of which the price naturally rifes in the progress of improvement, is that in which the efficacy of human industry, in augmenting the quantity, is either limited or uncertain. Though the real price of this fort of rude produce, therefore, naturally tends to rife in the progress of improvement, yet, according as different accidents happen to render the efforts of human industry more or less successful in augmenting the quantity, it may happen fometimes even to fall, fometimes to continue the fame in very different periods of improvement, and sometimes to rife more or less in the same period,

THERE

THERE are fome forts of rude produce which nature has ren- CHAP. dered a kind of appendages to other forts; fo that the quantity of the one which any country can afford, is necessarily limited by that of the other. The quantity of wool or of raw hides, for example, which any country can afford, is necessarily limited by the number of great and small cattle that are kept in it. The state of its improvement and the nature of its agriculture, again necessarily determine this number.

THE fame causes, which in the progress of improvement, gradually raise the price of butcher's-meat, should have the same effect, it may be thought, upon the prices of wool and raw hides, and raise them too nearly in the same proportion. It probably would be fo, if in the rude beginnings of improvement the market for the latter commodities was confined within as narrow bounds as that for the former. But the extent of their respective markets is commonly extreamly different, the borned edit enalty region A

THE market for butcher's-meat is almost every where confined to the country which produces it. Ireland, and some part of British America indeed, carry on a considerable trade in salt provisions; but they are, I believe, the only countries in the commercial world which do fo, or which export to other countries any confiderable part of their butcher's-meat.

merely for the fake of the hide and the

and mountainous part of the count

THE market for wool and raw hides, on the contrary, is in the rude beginnings of improvement very feldom confined to the country which produces them. They can eafily be transported to distant countries, wool without any preparation, and raw hides with very little; and as they are the materials of many manufactures, the industry of other countries may occasion a demand for them,

BOOK them, though that of the country which produces them might not occasion any.

In countries ill cultivated, and therefore but thinly inhabited, the price of the wool and the hide bears always a much greater proportion to that of the whole beaft, than in countries where, improvement and population being further advanced, there is more demand for butcher's-meat. Mr. Hume observes, that in the Saxon times, the fleece was estimated at two-fifths of the value of the whole sheep, and that this was much above the proportion of its present estimation. In some provinces of Spain, I have been affured, the sheep is frequently killed merely for the sake of the fleece and the tallow. The carcase is often left to rot upon the ground, or to be devoured by beafts and birds of prey. If this fometimes happens even in Spain, it happens almost constantly in Chili, at Buenos Ayres, and in many other parts of Spanish America, where the horned cattle are almost constantly killed merely for the fake of the hide and the tallow. This too used to happen almost constantly in Hispaniola, while it was infested by the Buccaneers, and before the fettlement, improvement and populoufness of the French plantations (which now extend round the coast of almost the whole western half of the island) had given some value to the cattle of the Spaniards, who still continue to possess, not only the eastern part of the coast, but the whole inland and mountainous part of the country.

THOUGH in the progress of improvement and population, the price of the whole beast necessarily rises, yet the price of the carcase is likely to be much more affected by this rise than that of the wool and the hide. The market for the carcase, being in the rude state of society confined always to the country which produces it, must necessarily be extended in proportion to the improvement and

and population of that country. But the market for the wool and CHAP. the hides even of a barbarous country often extending to the whole commercial world, it can very feldom be enlarged in the fame proportion. The state of the whole commercial world can feldom be much affected by the improvement of any particular country; and the market for fuch commodities may remain the fame or very nearly the same, after such improvements, as before. It should however in the natural course of things rather upon the whole be fomewhat extended in confequence of them. If the manufactures, especially, of which those commodities are the materials, should ever come to flourish in the country, the market, though it might not be much enlarged, would at least be brought much nearer to the place of growth than before; and the price of those materials might at least be increased by what had usually been the expence of transporting them to distant countries. Though it might not rife therefore in the same proportion as that of butcher's-meat, it

ought naturally to rife fomewhat, and it ought certainly not to

In England, however, notwithstanding the flourishing state of its woollen manufacture, the price of English wool has fallen very confiderably fince the time of Edward III. There are many authentick records which demonstrate that during the reign of that prince (towards the middle of the fourteenth century, or about 1339) what was reckoned the moderate and reasonable price of the tod or twenty-eight pounds of English wool was not less than ten shillings of the money of those times \*, containing, at the rate of twenty-pence the ounce, fix ounces of filver Tower-weight, equal to about thirty shillings of our present money. In the present times, one and twenty shillings the tod may be reckoned a good VOL. I. Pp price

\* See Smith's Memoirs of Wool.

fall.

DESTAN I



BOOK price for very good English wool. The money-price of wool, therefore, in the time of Edward III, was to its money-price in the present times as ten to seven. The superiority of its real price was ftill greater. At the rate of fix shillings and eight-pence the quarter, ten shillings was in those ancient times the price of twelve bushels of wheat. At the rate of twenty-eight shillings the quarter, one and twenty shillings is in the present times the price of fix bushels only. The proportion between the real prices of ancient and modern times, therefore, is as twelve to fix, or as two to one. In those ancient times a tod of wool would have purchased twice the quantity of fublishence which it will purchase at present; and consequently twice the quantity of labour, if the real recompence of labour had been the fame in both periods.

> This degradation both in the real and nominal value of wool could never have happened in consequence of the natural course of things. It has accordingly been the effect of violence and artifice: First, of the absolute prohibition of exporting wool from England; Secondly, of the permission of importing it from all other countries duty free; Thirdly, of the prohibition of exporting it from Ireland to any other country but England. In confequence of these regulations, the market for English wool, instead of being fomewhat extended in confequence of the improvement of England, has been confined to the home market, where the wool of all other countries is allowed to come into competition with it, and where that of Ireland is forced into competition with it. As the woollen manufactures too of Ireland are fully as much difcouraged as is confiftent with justice and fair dealing, the Irish can work up but a fmall part of their own wool at home, and are, therefore, obliged to fend a greater proportion of it to Great Britain, the only market they are allowed.

> > I HAVE

I HAVE not been able to find any fuch authentick records con- CHAP. cerning the price of raw hides in ancient times. Wool was commonly paid as a subsidy to the king, and its valuation in that subsidy afcertains, at least in some degree, what was its ordinary price, But this feems not to have been the case with raw hides. Fleetwood, however, from an account in 1425, between the prior of Burcester Oxford and one of his canons, gives us their price, at least as it was stated, upon that particular occasion: viz. five ox hides at twelve shillings; five cow hides at seven shillings and three-pence; thirty-fix sheeps skins of two years old at nine shillings; fixteen calves skins at two shillings. In 1425, twelve shillings contained about the same quantity of silver as four and twenty shillings of our present money. An ox hide, therefore, was in this account valued at the fame quantity of filver as 4s. 4ths of our present money. Its nominal price was a good deal lower than at present. But at the rate of fix shillings and eight-pence the quarter, twelve shillings would in those times have purchased fourteen bushels and four-fifths of a bushel of wheat, which, at three and fix-pence the bushel, would in the present times cost 51s. 4d. An ox hide, therefore, would in those times have purchased as much corn as ten shillings and three-pence would purchase at present. Its real value was equal to ten shillings and three-pence of our present money. In those ancient times, when the cattle were half starved during the greater part of the winter, we cannot suppose that they were of a very large size. An ox hide which weighs four stone of fixteen pounds averdupois, is not in the prefent times reckoned a bad one; and in those ancient times would probably have been reckoned a very good one. But at half a crown the stone, which at this moment (February, 1773) I understand to be the common price, such a hide would at present cost only ten shillings. Though its nominal price, therefore, is higher in the present than it was in those ancient times, its real price, P p 2

BOOK price, the real quantity of fubfishence which it will purchase or command, is rather fomewhat lower. The price of cow hides, as stated in the above account, is nearly in the common proportion to that of ox hides. That of sheep skins is a good deal above it. They had probably been fold with the wool. That of calves skins, on the contrary, is greatly below it. In countries where the price of cattle is very low, the calves, which are not intended to be reared in order to keep up the stock, are generally killed very young; as was the case in Scotland twenty or thirty years ago. It faves the milk, which their price would not pay for. Their fkins, therefore, are commonly good for little.

> THE price of raw hides is a good deal lower at present than it was a few years ago; owing probably to the taking off the duty upon feal skins, and to the allowing, for a limited time, the importation of raw hides from Ireland and from the plantations duty free, which was done in 1769. Take the whole of the present century at an average, their real price has probably been fomewhat higher than it was in those ancient times. The nature of the commodity renders it not quite fo proper for being tranfported to diftant markets as wool. It fuffers more by keeping. A falted hide is reckoned inferior to a fresh one, and fells for a lower price. This circumstance must necessarily have some tendency to fink the price of raw hides produced in a country which does not manufacture them, but is obliged to export them; and comparatively to raise that of those produced in a country which does manufacture them. It must have some tendency to fink their price in a barbarous, and to raife it in an improved and manufacturing country. It must have had some tendency therefore to fink it in ancient, and to raise it in modern times. Our tanners besides have not been quite so successful as our clothiers in convincing the wisdom of the nation that the safety of the commonwealth

wealth depends upon the prosperity of their particular manufacture. CHAP. They have accordingly been much less favoured. The exportation of raw hides has, indeed, been prohibited, and declared a nuifance: but their importation from foreign countries has been fubjected to a duty; and though this duty has been taken off from those of Ireland and the plantations (for the limited time of five years only) yet Ireland has not been confined to the market of Great Britain for the fale of its furplus hides, or of those which are not manufactured at home. The hides of common cattle have but within these few years been put among the enumerated commodities which the plantations can fend nowhere but to the mother country; neither has the commerce of Ireland been in this case oppressed hitherto in order to support the manufactures of Great Britain.

WHATEVER regulations tend to fink the price either of wool or of raw hides below what it naturally would be, must, in an improved and cultivated country, have fome tendency to raife the price of butcher's meat. The price both of the great and small cattle, which are fed on improved and cultivated land, must be fufficient to pay the rent which the landlord, and the profit which the farmer has reason to expect from improved and cultivated land. If it is not, they will foon cease to feed them. Whatever part of this price, therefore, is not paid by the wool and the hide, must be paid by the carcase. The less there is paid for the one, the more must be paid for the other. In what manner this price is to be divided upon the different parts of the beaft, is indifferent to the landlords and farmers, provided it is all paid to them. In an improved and cultivated country, therefore, their interest as landlords and farmers cannot be much affected by fuch regulations, though their interest as consumers may, by the rife in the price of provisions. It would be quite otherwise, however, in an 4 unimproved:

BOOK unimproved and uncultivated country, where the greater part of the lands could be applied to no other purpose but the feeding of cattle, and where the wool and the hide made the principal part of the value of those cattle. Their interest as landlords and farmers would in this case be very deeply affected by such regulations, and their interest as consumers very little. The fall in the price of the wool and the hide, would not in this case raise the price of the carcase; because the greater part of the lands of the country being applicable to no other purpose but the feeding of cattle, the same number would still continue to be fed. The fame quantity of butcher's-meat would ftill come to market. The demand for it would be no greater than before. Its price, therefore, would be the same as before. The whole price of cattle would fall, and along with it both the rent and the profit of all those lands of which cattle was the principal produce, that is, of the greater part of the lands of the country. The perpetual prohibition of the exportation of wool which is commonly, but very falfely, afcribed to Edward III, would, in the then circumstances of the country, have been the most destructive regulation which could well have been thought of. It would not only have reduced the actual value of the greater part of the lands of the kingdom, but by reducing the price of the most important species of small cattle, it would have retarded very much its subsequent improvement.

> THE wool of Scotland fell very confiderably in its price in confequence of the union with England, by which it was excluded from the great market of Europe, and confined to the narrow one of Great Britain. The value of the greater part of the lands in the fouthern counties of Scotland, which are chiefly a sheep country, would have been very deeply affected by this event, had not the rise in the price of butcher's-meat fully compensated the fall in the price of wool, As 7

As the efficacy of human industry, in increasing the quantity CHAP. either of wool or of raw hides, is limited, fo far as it depends upon the produce of the country where it is exerted; fo it is uncertain fo far as it depends upon the produce of other countries. It fo far depends, not fo much upon the quantity which they produce, as upon that which they do not manufacture; and upon the restraints which they may or may not think proper to impose upon the exportation of this fort of rude produce. These circumstances, as they are altogether independent of domestick industry, so they necessarily render the efficacy of its efforts more or less uncertains In multiplying this fort of rude produce, therefore, the efficacy of human industry is not only limited, but uncertain.

In multiplying another very important fort of rude produce, the quantity of fish that is brought to market, it is likewise both limited and uncertain. It is limited by the local fituation of the country, by the proximity or diffance of its different provinces from the fea, by the number of its lakes and rivers, and by what may be called the fertility or barrenness of those seas, lakes and rivers, as to this fort of rude produce. As population increases, as the annual produce of the land and labour of the country grows greater and greater, there come to be more buyers of fish, and those buyers too have a greater quantity and variety of other goods, or, what is the fame thing, the price of a greater quantity and variety of other goods, to buy with. But it will generally be impossible to supply the great and extended market without employing a quantity of labour greater than in proportion to what had been requifite for supplying the narrow and confined one. A market which, from requiring only one thousand, comes to require annually ten thousand tun of fish, can feldom be supplied without employing more than ten times the quantity of labour which had before been fufficient to fupply it. The fifth must generally be fought for at a



#### THE NATURE AND CAUSES OF

воок

greater distance, larger vessels must be employed, and more expensive machinery of every kind made use of. The real price of this commodity, therefore, naturally rises in the progress of improvement. It has accordingly done so, I believe, more or less in every country.

uson that which they do not manufalture; and upon the reducing

THOUGH the fuccess of a particular day's fishing may be a very uncertain matter, yet, the local situation of the country being supposed, the general efficacy of industry in bringing a certain quantity of sish to market, taking the course of a year, or of several years together, it may perhaps be thought, is certain enough; and it, no doubt, is so. As it depends more, however, upon the local situation of the country, than upon the state of its wealth and industry; as upon this account it may in different countries be the same in very different periods of improvement, and very different in the same period; its connection with the state of improvement is uncertain, and it is of this sort of uncertainty that I am here speaking.

In increasing the quantity of the different minerals and metals which are drawn from the bowels of the earth, that of the more precious ones particularly, the efficacy of human industry seems not to be limited, but to be altogether uncertain.

The quantity of the precious metals which is to be found in any country is not limited by any thing in its local fituation, such as the fertility or barrenness of its own mines. Those metals frequently abound in countries which possess no mines. Their quantity in every particular country seems to depend upon two different circumstances; first, upon its power of purchasing, upon the state of its industry, upon the annual produce of its land and labour, in consequence of which it can afford to employ a greater

or a smaller quantity of labour and subfistence in bringing or CHAP. purchasing such superfluities as gold and silver, either from its own mines or from those of other countries; and, secondly, upon the fertility or barrenness of the mines which may happen at any particular time to supply the commercial world with those metals. The quantity of those metals in the countries most remote from the mines, must be more or less affected by this fertility or barrenness, on account of the easy and cheap transportation of those metals, of their fmall bulk and great value. Their quantity in China and Indostan must have been more or less affected by the abundance of the mines of America.

So far as their quantity in any particular country depends upon the former of those two circumstances (the power of purchasing) their real price, like that of all other luxuries and superfluities, is likely to rife with the wealth and improvement of the country, and to fall with its poverty and depression. Countries which have a great quantity of labour and subsistence to spare, can afford to purchase any particular quantity of those metals at the expence of a greater quantity of labour and subfistence, than countries which have less to spare.

So far as their quantity in any particular country depends upon the latter of those two circumstances (the fertility or barrenness of the mines which happen to fupply the commercial world) their real price, the real quantity of labour and fubfiftence which they will purchase or exchange for, will, no doubt, fink more or less in proportion to the fertility, and rife in proportion to the barrennels of those mines.

THE fertility or barrenness of the mines, however, which may happen at any particular time to supply the commercial world, Vol. I.

BOOK is a circumstance which, it is evident, may have no fort of connection with the state of industry in a particular country. It seems even to have no very necessary connection with that of the world in general. As arts and commerce, indeed, gradually fpread themselves over a greater and a greater part of the earth, the search for new mines, being extended over a wider furface, may have fomewhat a better chance for being fuccessful, than when confined within narrower bounds. The discovery of new mines, however, as the old ones come to be gradually exhausted, is a matter of the greatest uncertainty, and such as no human skill or industry can enfure. All indications, it is acknowledged, are doubtful, and the actual discovery and successful working of a new mine can alone ascertain the reality of its value, or even of its existence. In this fearch there feem to be no certain limits either to the possible fuccess, or to the possible disappointment of human industry. In the course of a century or two, it is possible that new mines may be discovered more fertile than any that have ever yet been known; and it is just equally possible that the most fertile mine then known may be more barren than any that was wrought before the difcovery of the mines of America. Whether the one or the other of those two events may happen to take place, is of very little importance to the real wealth and prosperity of the world, to the real value of the annual produce of the land and labour of mankind. Its nominal value, the quantity of gold and filver by which this annual produce could be expressed or represented, would, no doubt, be very different; but its real value, the real quantity of labour which it could purchase or command, would be precisely the fame. A shilling might in the one case represent no more labour than a penny does at prefent; and a penny in the other might represent as much as a shilling does now. But in the one case he who had a shilling in his pocket, would be no richer than he who has a penny at prefent; and in the other he who had a penny would